

Frequency Changes in GPS Satellite Signals

(short version of the article *Gravitational frequency shift and the transverse Doppler effect in the GPS system*)

If the source of electromagnetic radiation moves in a direction perpendicular to the "source-receiver" line, the radiation comes to the receiver at a reduced frequency. When a stationary source is located higher the receiver, the receiver sees an increased frequency. These two effects are well known and confirmed experimentally with high accuracy [1, 2]. Advocates of special relativity argue that these effects are relativistic, and that frequency changes occur due to time dilation in moving systems and changes in gravity [3-6]. In our previous works [7-10] it was shown that no time dilation exists, and the transverse Doppler effect and the gravitational frequency shift have a purely classical explanation

An increased frequency signal arrives from the GPS satellite orbit to the control center on Earth. This increase in frequency is partially offset by a decrease in frequency due to the speed of the satellite. A slight decrease in frequency occurs when the satellites moving at the same speed in the same orbit send signals to each other. Why and how do these effects arise and are they relativistic? It is shown below that these are not relativistic effects and they are explained from a purely classical point of view.

Signals between satellites moving with the same speed in the same orbit

The atomic clocks at the control punkts are tuned to a frequency $\nu_0 = 10.23$ GHz. Lets imagine that no relativistic corrections are introduced into the atomic clock of the satellites, and each satellite sends a signal to another satellite at a frequency of 10.23 GHz.

If the satellites were moving in an ideal v o i d , the photons would travel the distance between the satellites at a speed C and the signal frequency would not change. But since the satellites move not in a void, but in a rarefied atmosphere, due to the re-emission of photons by atoms of the atmosphere, their speed changes, the Doppler effect occurs twice and the signal frequency decreases

At the first moment after emission, the photons emitted by the first satellite towards the second satellite have a frequency of 10.23 GHz and move relative to the satellite at a speed C and relative to the rarefied atmosphere at a speed $C + V$.

As soon as the photons meet the atoms of the atmosphere, they are re-emitted, their speed changes, and relative to the atmosphere they travel with the speed C / n (where the refractive index n is practically equal to unity).

In accordance with the Doppler effect, the frequency of photons decreases from $\nu_0 = 10\,230\,000\,000$ Hz to $\nu_1 = \nu_0 \left(1 - \frac{V}{C}\right)$ and at a speed of movement $V = 3.874$ km / s becomes equal to $10\,229\,867\,805$ Hz.

$$\begin{aligned} &10\,230\,000\,000 (1 - 3\,874 / 299\,792\,458) = \\ &= 10\,229\,867\,805.146719201321602293277 \end{aligned}$$

With a frequency of $10\,229\,867\,805$ Hz, photons travel in the atmosphere to the second satellite and meet with it at a speed of $C + V$. In accordance with the Doppler effect, their frequency changes in

$(1+V / C)$ and becomes equal to **10 229 999 998** Hz.

$$10229867805.146719201321602293277 (1 + 3\,874 / 299\,792\,458) = \\ = 10\,229\,999\,998.291742010368339285864$$

Thus, after a two-fold Doppler frequency change, the second satellite, instead of a signal with a frequency of $\nu_0 = 10.23$ GHz, receives a frequency $\nu = \nu_0 \left(1 - \frac{V^2}{C^2}\right) = 10\,229\,999\,998$ Hz, which is **1.7** Hz lower than ν_0 .

$$10\,230\,000\,000 - 10229999998.291742010368339285864 = \\ = 1.7082579896316607141356676158811$$

The signal frequency changes in the same way when the second satellite sends a signal to the first satellite going ahead: the frequency of the received signal turns out to be **1.7** Hz lower than **10.23** GHz.

Signals from the satellite to the Earth without taking into account the influence of the speed (the gravitational change only)

The frequency change occurs due to the fact that the satellite transmitter and the control center receiver are at different heights (similar to what happens in the Pound-Rebka experiment).

If relativistic corrections are not introduced into the satellite's atomic clock and the satellite sends a signal at a frequency of **10.23** GHz, the signal arrives at the control center with a frequency of **10.230,000.004.574 856** GHz, which is **4.574856** GHz higher than **10.23** GHz.

It is customary to explain this change in frequency by two **relativistic** effects:

- at an altitude of **20 184** km, **time flows faster** and therefore the frequency **increases** by **5.5189** Gz
- since the satellite is moving at a speed of **3.874** km / s, time on the satellite **flows slower** and the signal frequency **decreases** by **0.854** Hz.

In our work [10], it is shown that there is no "acceleration" or "deceleration" of time on the satellite, and the frequency changes are explained by purely classical effects.

During the time $20\,184 / 299\,792,458 = 0.067\,326\,576\,9$ sec, while the signal from the satellite goes to the Earth's surface, the speed of photons under the influence of gravity increases by **0.161 734** m / sec or in relative units by **5.3948 e-10**.

$$0.161\,734 / 299\,792\,458 = 5.3948862990861707269507308789386e-10$$

Due to the increase in the speed of photons by **5.3948 e-10**, the signal frequency changes by the same amount **5.3948 e-10** and turns out to be equal to **5.5189** Hz.

$$10\,230\,000\,000 \times 5.3948e-10 = 5.5189686839651526536705976891542 \text{ Hz,}$$

Thus, the receiver on Earth sees an increase in the signal frequency by **5.5189** Hz and this increase in frequency is explained only by the gravitational increase in the speed of the photons and therefore does not need any "relativistic" explanations.

Signals from satellite to Earth excluding gravity (frequency change because speed only)

If we do not take into account the influence of gravity and if the satellite emits a signal at a frequency of **10.23** GHz, the frequency of the signal received on Earth turns out to be **0.854** Hz less than the frequency of **10.23** GHz. The decrease in frequency is explained not by any time dilation, but by the transverse Doppler effect - a change in the speed of photons in the direction perpendicular to the speed of the satellite. As shown in our works [7, 10], the transverse effect is explained by the fact that only photons emitted at some angle back travel in the direction perpendicular to the source's velocity, and therefore the initial (before re-emission by the atoms of the medium) velocity of the photons is determined by the vector addition speeds C and V .

The vector addition of the speed **299 792 458** km / s and the satellite speed $V = 3.874$ km / s gives the value of the speed with which the photons move towards the Earth before the first re-emission by the atoms of the medium: **299 792 457. 974 969 55710502476504676** m / s.

This speed is by **0.02 503 044289497523495324** m / s less than C (the relative change in speed is **8.349 257 0366714278560136426113828e-11**) and therefore at the time of re-emission the photon frequency changes by **0.854 12899485148706967019563914446** Hz from **10 230 000 000** Hz to **10 229 999 999.145 87100514851293033** Hz.

Thus, due to the movement of the satellite at a speed of **3.874** km / s, the frequency of the signal received on Earth decreases by **0.854** Hz.

Since both effects

- **the gravitational frequency change and the speed frequency change** act simultaneously, the resulting change is equal to **5.5189 - 0.854 = 4.6649** Hz, which is quite close to the known value of **4.574856** Hz.

Conclusion

The need to introduce adjustments to the atomic clock of GPS satellites is explained not by the so-called SRT effects - slowing down or acceleration of time in satellites, but by changes in the speed of the photons and resulting Doppler effect.

The classical explanation of the frequency changes in the GPS system allows us to assert that the SRT fantasies about slowing down or speeding up time are erroneous and should be excluded from scientific research

References

- 1 Pound–Rebka experiment https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pound%E2%80%93Rebka_experiment
- 2 Gravitational redshift <https://astronomy.swin.edu.au/cosmos/G/Gravitational+Redshift>
- 3 The global positioning system, relativity, and extraterrestrial navigation Neil Ashby and Robert A. Nelson 2008 <https://tf.nist.gov/general/pdf/2444.pdf>
- 4 What Does Gravitational Redshift Actually Mean? <https://www.secretsoftheuniverse.in/gravitational-redshift/>
- 5 Релятивистские эффекты в GPS <https://maxpark.com/community/5654/content/2027783>
- 6 Relativity in the Global Positioning System, Neil Ashby, 2003 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.12942/lrr-2003-1>
- 7 What the Global Positioning System Tells Us about Relativity, Tom Van Flandern http://acmephysics.narod.ru/b_r/gps.htm
- 7 Star Aberration and the Transverse Doppler Effect. Gennady Sokolov, Vitali Sokolov <https://www.gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Astrophysics/Download/2003>
- 8 Sokolov Vitali, Sokolov Gennadiy Cosmological Redshift without expansion of the Universe (Classical explanation) <https://www.gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Relativity%20Theory/Download/7728>
- 9 Optical Fizeau Experiment with Moving Water is Explained without Fresnel's Hypothesis and Contradicts Special Relativity, Gennadiy Sokolov and Vitali Sokolov <https://www.gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers/View/8225>

10 Gravitational frequency shift and transverse Doppler effect in GPS, Gennady Sokolov, Vitali Sokolov

<https://www.gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Relativity%20Theory/Download/8354>