

The Electron-Positron Sea

(The Electric Sea)

*Frederick David Tombe,
Northern Ireland, United Kingdom,
sirius184@hotmail.com
23rd April 2014*

Abstract. It is proposed that all space is permeated with a dense electrically neutral sea of electrons and positrons which serves as the medium for the propagation of light. The challenge remains to devise a stable bonding mechanism within this luminiferous medium that conforms with Maxwell's equations by providing the necessary solidity and the physical mechanism that will give rise to the characteristics of electromagnetic waves, while at the same time allowing for the fluidity that would avoid the problem of friction in the planetary orbits.

Space as an Insulator

I. If, as is generally believed, electric current constitutes a flow of charged particles, and if, as is generally believed, space is empty, then space would offer no impedance to the flow of electric current. Space does however offer an impedance to electric current, and in doing so it behaves like a dielectric. It's the dielectric nature of space which tends to confine electric current to conducting channels such as metal wires and electrolytic solutions. In order to explain the impedance to electric current that arises due to the presence of an all-pervading dielectric medium, it is suggested that electric current is actually something more fundamental than the flow of charged particles, albeit the case that charged particles are involved as well. The proposition is that electric current constitutes a flow of the primary electric fluid of which all matter is made. In the case of an electric circuit that is powered by a battery, in the moments immediately after the power is switched on, the electric fluid emerges under pressure from the outward terminal and seeks to follow the path of least resistance back towards the return terminal. This involves passing through the all-pervading dielectric medium in the surroundings. However, the dielectric medium quickly becomes polarized in the path of the current by the EMF that drives it, and this induces an impedance against the current. The induction of this impedance in the polarized zone is closely related to Lenz's law in electromagnetism, and due to this impedance, the electric current will be pushed along the conducting wire while continually striving to flow out sideways into the surrounding dielectric medium again, wide of the polarized zone. This transient process will continue until the electric current is fully immersed within the conducting wire.

During this initial transient process, a pilot wavefront of polarization in the surrounding dielectric medium will propagate outwards from the battery and through the region enclosed by the wire circuit. And during this stage, it doesn't matter whether the circuit is open or closed, because this wave cannot sense what lies ahead. Although this is only a transient effect, it is an effect which is nurtured as being the most important effect in the special case of DC transmission line pulses where the outward and return wires are close together and parallel, such that there is a large capacitance.

It is proposed that negatively charged particles constitute sinks in the electric fluid while positively charged particles constitute sources. When the electric fluid accelerates, it will accelerate positively charged particles along with it, subject to the impedance of the medium. Negatively charged particles, being sinks, will however eat their way along in the opposite direction towards the power source.

The Dielectric Sea

II. In 1825, French physicist and mathematician André-Marie Ampère came up with two conceptions for the aether. In the first he speaks of an elastic fluid which extends throughout all space, whose vibrations produce the phenomenon of light. He said that this fluid or aether can *“be no other than that which results from the combination of the two electricities.”* In his second conception, he has the interspaces between the metallic molecules of a current carrying wire occupied by a fluid composed of the two electricities. In this inter-molecular fluid, the opposite electricities are continually being dissociated and recombined, [1]. This was more than a hundred years before Paul Dirac came up with similar ideas, but Ampère didn't need to involve relativity or quantum mechanics.

James Clerk Maxwell, in Parts I and II of his 1861 paper *“On Physical Lines of Force”*, [2], advocated a sea of molecular vortices as being the medium responsible for magnetic force and electromagnetic induction. In Part III of the same paper, on elasticity and electrostatics, while introducing *displacement current*, Maxwell's sea of tiny vortices morphed into an elastic dielectric solid which Maxwell concluded to be the medium responsible for the propagation of light waves. In his 1865 paper *“A Dynamical Theory of the Electromagnetic Field”*, [3], Maxwell derived the electromagnetic wave equation. At the top of page 498, just before the displacement current equations (65), he says *“if the medium in the field is a perfect dielectric there is no true conduction, and - - - -”*. Maxwell was clearly alluding to the fact that considered the luminiferous medium to be a dielectric. Combining Maxwell's two perspectives, we might conclude that the electric particles that circulate around his molecular vortices must exist in equal and opposite charges.

In more recent years an increasing number of dissident physicists have been coming forward and proposing that the medium for the propagation of light is a dense sea of electrons and positrons, [4], [5], [6], [9], [10], [11], [12]. Such a proposal, however, is invariably challenged with two questions. The first question concerns the issue of why the electrons and positrons do not annihilate each other. The second question relates to how the electrons and positrons are bonded together in a stable structure that would be compatible with Maxwell's equations and account for electromagnetic phenomena while still allowing the planets to move in their orbits around the Sun without encountering drag friction.

Planetary Orbits

III. Kepler's laws of planetary motion allow us to derive a radial differential equation in distance, r , from the polar origin,

$$d^2r/dt^2 = -k/r^2 + l/r^3 \tag{1}$$

where k is the gravitational constant, and where l is a constant related to the angular momentum. The basic form of this equation was first proposed by Gottfried Leibniz (1646-1716).

An inverse cube law force field is known to arise from a dipole, and since the centrifugal force term on the right hand side of equation (1) obeys an inverse cube law, this provides further evidence that there exists a background medium of electric dipoles, which as well as causing electromagnetic phenomena, also causes the inertial forces that keep the planets in their stable orbits.

Gravity on the other hand is a geometrical variation of electric current. It is a monopole force field that arises in conjunction with the large scale radial flow of pure aether into atomic and molecular matter. Gravity causes a region of the surrounding electron-positron sea to be entrained with a planet's motion around the Sun. As the aether percolates through the background electron-positron sea, it causes a linear polarization of the tiny dipoles. Since these dipoles are rotating, this will result in a torque which will cause the dipoles to precess about the gravitational flow lines. This will result in centrifugal pressure acting at right angles to the gravitational flow lines. The gravitational field lines of two neighbouring planetary bodies touch together laterally, and the centrifugal pressure that is acting at right angles to the field lines will have a tendency to push the two planets apart. As the mutual transverse speed of two planetary bodies increases, the tiny dipoles at the interface between the two gravitational

fields will angularly accelerate, and hence the centrifugal force will increase. This dipole generated centrifugal pressure which acts at right angles to the gravitational flow lines, acts in opposition to the attractive gravitational tension that is caused by the large scale aether inflow. Stable orbits are maintained by virtue of the fact that the attractive force is inverse square law whereas the repulsive force is inverse cube law, hence creating stable dynamic equilibrium nodes. Hence, far from creating friction that would cause the planets to spiral into the Sun, the electron-positron sea actually induces the very inertial forces that prevent this from happening. The transverse speed of a planet relative to the Sun induces a radial centrifugal force on the planet's entrained region of the electron-positron sea. This creates a hovercraft effect at the interface, and if the speed is high enough, the planet and its entrained region of electron-positron sea will rise upwards like a bubble, away from the Sun.

Electromagnetic Radiation

IV. A dense sea of electrons and positrons would be electrically neutral and could serve as the physical medium for the propagation of light. Maxwell hinted that the displacement mechanism in his sea of molecular vortices was tangential or rotational, but in the years after Maxwell, his displacement current became closely associated with capacitors, [4]. There is however a problem with this association. Modern textbooks teach Maxwell's displacement current in a manner such that the electric field term satisfies the zero-curl electrostatic equation, $\mathbf{E}_s = -\nabla\psi$, where ψ is the scalar potential, but when deriving the electromagnetic wave equation, this electric field is equated with the dynamic electric field of time varying electromagnetic induction. This dynamic electric field comes from the non-zero curl equation, $\mathbf{E}_K = -\partial\mathbf{A}/\partial t$, that is associated with Faraday's law, and where \mathbf{A} is the electromagnetic momentum, known nowadays as the magnetic vector potential. The fact that one of these \mathbf{E} fields has a zero curl, while the other one doesn't, means that equating them with each other within the same derivation amounts to sleight of hand. We must therefore deduce that the elasticity in electromagnetic radiation cannot be due to linear polarization and the irrotational electrostatic force. We need to establish a bonding structure for the electron-positron sea in which the displacement current mechanism satisfies the non-zero curl equation $\mathbf{E}_K = -\partial\mathbf{A}/\partial t$. We need to consider fine-grained angular displacement.

The Cubic Lattice Solution

V. Amongst the extant theories proposing that space is densely packed with electrons and positrons, it is worth considering the face centred cubic structure

advocated by solid state physicist Dr. Menahem Simhony, [5]. Part of the strength of this theory lies in the manner in which it debunks the notion, that the electrons and positrons that fill all of space would annihilate each other. Dr. Simhony's model is based on the principle that when an electron-positron pair are believed to have annihilated each other, they have in fact merely entered into a bound state within a background cubic lattice that pervades all of space, and that the energy which is released in the form of two gamma photons, is accounted for by the lattice binding energy. Simhony drew attention to the fact that the appropriate equation $E = mc^2$, which is commonly associated with Einstein, is in fact merely a derivative of Newton's equation for the speed of a wave in an elastic solid, which interestingly Maxwell uses at equation (132) in his 1861 paper, [1]. Simhony further demonstrates an analogue of the electron-positron pair production/annihilation experiments using sodium and chloride ions within crystalline salt, in conjunction with ultra violet radiation and the Newton equation. If Simhony's theory could be shown to be compatible with Maxwell's equations then it should surely be proven, but this is exactly where the problem lies. Simhony's theory conflicts with Maxwell's equations. For a cubic lattice to be stable, Earnshaw's theorem reminds us that in addition to the attractive inverse square law electrostatic force which acts between the neighbouring electrons and positrons, we would also need to have a stabilizing short range repulsive force with an inverse power law greater than two, [6], and this would conflict with the zero divergence in Maxwell's displacement current that is essential in order to derive the electromagnetic wave equation $\nabla^2 \mathbf{E}_K = \mu\epsilon \partial^2 \mathbf{E}_K / \partial t^2$. *See the Appendix.* Nevertheless, Simhony's theory provides persuasive evidence that a dense background sea of electron-positron dipoles does in fact exist, and it only remains to determine the precise structure.

The Sea of Tiny Aether Whirlpools

VI. In a paper written in 1930, [7], Tesla speaks of how mankind knew long ago that the primary substance that fills all of space (the aether) is thrown into tiny whirls. In the eighteenth century, John Bernoulli the younger believed that all space is permeated by a fluid aether containing an immense number of excessively small whirlpools that press against each other with centrifugal force while striving to dilate, [8]. In the nineteenth century, James Clerk Maxwell used this same blueprint and proposed that the magnetic field is comprised of a specific alignment of tiny molecular vortices that are surrounded by electric particles [1]. In Maxwell's model, the individual magnetic lines of force consist of these tiny vortices mutually aligned along their rotation axes.

The Double Helix Solution

VII. Since the derivation of the electromagnetic wave equation employs Ampère's circuital law, it follows that there must be a closed electric circulation at every point in space where wireless electromagnetic radiation exists, and so it is reasonable to assume that the background electron-positron sea is constructed of rotating electron-positron dipoles in which each electron is in mutual circular orbit with a positron. If Simhony's proposed cubic lattice version of the electron-positron sea were set into place and released, the first thing to happen would be that electrons and positrons would collapse together into tiny dipolar orbits. These tiny orbits would then dock with each other in their axial direction, electron to positron, forming double helix toroidal vortex rings. If these dipoles were then to be angularly accelerated, so as to cause the two particles within each dipole to exceed their escape velocity, the dipoles would then press against each other with centrifugal force while striving to dilate, hence hemming each other in, as in John Bernoulli's model mentioned in the previous section. The individual dipole orbits would remain closed, with the inward centripetal force being supplied by centrifugal force coming from their neighbours in the equatorial plane, while gyroscopic stability would prevent the rotating dipoles from flipping over. Space would then become a source of high energy based on fine-grained centrifugal pressure. It would then only remain to establish what would prevent the structure from exploding apart.

In Maxwell's model, the centrifugal pressure in the equatorial plane of the vortices, that causes magnetic repulsion to act at right angles to the magnetic field lines, is counterbalanced by an attractive force in the axial direction of each vortex in the same direction as the field lines, which being solenoidal therefore locks the whole system together into a stable equilibrium. If we were to substitute Maxwell's tiny vortices with rotating electron-positron dipoles, which themselves would constitute dipolar aethereal vortices, with the aether corresponding to the fundamental electric fluid, then the attractive tension acting along the magnetic lines of force could be accounted for on the basis that if the dipoles were aligned in a double helix, then every electron would be attracted, by the ordinary electrostatic force, $\mathbf{E}_s = -\nabla\psi$, to the positron in the neighbouring dipole in the axial direction, [9]. See Fig.1 below,




Fig. 1. A single magnetic line of force. The electrons are shown in red and the positrons are shown in black. The double helix is rotating about its axis with a circumferential speed equal to the speed of light, and the rotation axis represents the magnetic field vector \mathbf{H} .

The field lines between two like poles touch together laterally, and so magnetic repulsion is therefore caused by the fine-grained centrifugal force which acts sideways from the field lines. Magnetic field lines cross directly between two unlike poles, and so magnetic attraction is therefore caused by the electrostatic force acting between the electrons and positrons along the double helix. The rotating dipoles within this double helix based structure would have gyroscopic stability in addition to being held in position by the electron-to-positron bonding along the axial direction. Realignments between neighbouring dipoles would however occur in the dynamic state when the magnetic field is changing in time.

Wireless electromagnetic radiation would then be explained as time varying electromagnetic induction that is propagating between neighbouring dipoles, each which constitutes a tiny electric circulation. Wireless radiation should not however be confused with cable telegraphy, such as in the case of a DC transmission line pulse. The DC transmission line pulse arises when a momentary voltage is applied across two long parallel wires that are close together. This projects an electromagnetic pulse along the line between the two wires, but after the power supply is disconnected, there is no longer any electric field involved. The pulse then moves at constant speed under its own momentum. There will be a circulation of pure aether around the perimeter of the pulse which freely crosses the gap between the two wires, but there will be no accompanying motion of charged particles, and neither will there be any displacement current involved. There will however be a magnetic field surrounding the electric circulation and this will move along with the pulse. This electric current circulation will be like a caterpillar track with the return wire being the ground and the live wire carrying the momentum. When two such live pulses collide on the same wire, the collision will spread into the dielectric between the wires, causing polarization as the moving aether pulses compress together, and when the recoil occurs the situation will be that the pulses have passed right through each other like waves.

When two pulses of opposite polarity meet in opposite directions, the same thing will happen, but the collision will be less severe since the live parts will be on opposite tracks.

Conclusion

VIII. All space is densely packed with tiny rotating electron-positron dipoles, small enough to pass through the interstitial spaces between the atoms and molecules of ponderable matter, in like manner to water passing through the holes in a basket. The cylindrical symmetry of the rotating electron-positron dipoles is the key to the luminiferous medium being solid enough to sustain transverse waves while being fluid enough to avoid friction in planetary motion.

Ampère's Circuital Law is the basis upon which moving objects always cause the electron-positron dipoles to align so as to cause centrifugal force as opposed to friction. This is in contrast to Simhony's cubic lattice solution which would not readily permit shearing, and hence would not allow for the passage of atomic and molecular matter. Simhony's electron-positron cubic lattice is like a block of electric ice which would freeze the planets in their orbits.

References

[1] Ampère, André-Marie, "*Recueil d'observations électro-dynamiques*", pp. 215, 297, 300, and 371 (1825)

[2] Clerk-Maxwell, J., "*On Physical Lines of Force*", Philosophical Magazine, Volume XXI, Fourth Series, London, (1861)
http://vacuum-physics.com/Maxwell/maxwell_oplf.pdf

[3] Clerk-Maxwell, J., "*A Dynamical Theory of the Electromagnetic Field*", Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, 155, pp 459-512 (1865). Abstract: Proceedings of the Royal Society of London 13, pp. 531--536 (1864)
<http://rstl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/155/459.full.pdf+html>

[4] Barker, Arden, (Monitek@aol.com), has no publications but he believes in the existence of a dense background sea of electrons and positrons as the medium for the propagation of electromagnetic radiation. He believes that the linear polarization that must necessarily occur in the space between the plates of a charging capacitor is the basis of electromagnetic radiation.

[5] Simhony, M., "*The Electron-Positron Lattice Space, Cause of Relativity and Quantum Effects*", Physics Section 5, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem (1990)
<http://web.archive.org/web/20040606235138/www.word1.co.il/physics/mass.htm>

Professor Simhony's theory was broadly supported by the late Ian Grant Montgomery, an engineering graduate and businessman in Australia. However, in Mr. Montgomery's earlier years, he himself had independently proposed a dense sea of electron-positron couplets that he called "*Nortons*". The idea was that the *Norton Sea* flowed into planetary bodies, and indeed into all matter, such as to be the cause of both gravity and electromagnetism.

[6] Franklin Hu, a graduate of MIT has recently joined the growing number of advocates who propose that space is filled by a sea of electron-positron dipoles as the medium for the propagation of light, but whereby Simhony seems to be unaware of the issue concerning the dilemma that meeting the requirements of Earnshaw's theorem necessarily causes a conflict with Maxwell's equations, Hu on the other hand has dismissed this objection altogether on the grounds that he doesn't believe Earnshaw's theorem. Neither do Simhony nor Hu recognize the importance of the issue raised about linear polarization in section IV, in that linear polarization cannot possibly be the physical basis for wireless electromagnetic radiation. Franklin Hu's main article can be read here,
<http://vixra.org/pdf/1305.0075v1.pdf>

[7] O'Neill, John J., "*PRODIGAL GENIUS, Biography of Nikola Tesla*", Long Island, New York, 15th July 1944, Fourth Part, paragraph 23, quoting Tesla from his 1907 paper "*Man's Greatest Achievement*" which was published in 1930 in the Milwaukee Sentinel,
"Long ago he (mankind) recognized that all perceptible matter comes from a primary substance, of a tenuity beyond conception and filling all space - the Akasha or luminiferous ether - which is acted upon by the life-giving Prana or creative force, calling into existence, in never ending cycles, all

things and phenomena. The primary substance, thrown into infinitesimal whirls of prodigious velocity, becomes gross matter; the force subsiding, the motion ceases and matter disappears, reverting to the primary substance”.

<http://www.rastko.rs/istorija/tesla/oniell-tesla.html>

<http://www.ascension-research.org/tesla.html>

[8] Whittaker, E.T., “*A History of the Theories of Aether and Electricity*”, Chapter 4, pages 100-102, (1910)

“All space, according to the younger Bernoulli, is permeated by a fluid aether, containing an immense number of excessively small whirlpools. The elasticity which the aether appears to possess, and in virtue of which it is able to transmit vibrations, is really due to the presence of these whirlpools; for, owing to centrifugal force, each whirlpool is continually striving to dilate, and so presses against the neighbouring whirlpools.”

[9] Tombe, F.D., “*The Double Helix Theory of the Magnetic Field*”, (2006)

Galilean Electrodynamics, Volume 24, Number 2, p.34, (March/April 2013)

<http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Mathematical%20Physics/Download/6371>

“*Maxwell’s Equations and Galilean Relativity*”, The Toth-Maatian Review, Volume 2, Number 4, p.841 (January 1984)

[http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Journal%20Reprints-](http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Journal%20Reprints-Mechanics%20/%20Electrodynamics/Download/3757)

[Mechanics%20/%20Electrodynamics/Download/3757](http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Journal%20Reprints-Mechanics%20/%20Electrodynamics/Download/3757)

[10] Rothwarf, Dr. Allen, “*An Aether Model of the Universe*”, (1998)

<https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0703280>

[11] Polasek, John C., “*Dual Space Theory*”, (2004)

<https://web.archive.org/web/20080511185334/http://www.dualspace.net/>

<https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.127.5072&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

[12] Fleming, Ray, “*The Matter-Antimatter Dipole*”, (2017)

[http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-](http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Quantum%20Theory%20/%20Particle%20Physics/Download/7067)

[Quantum%20Theory%20/%20Particle%20Physics/Download/7067](http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Quantum%20Theory%20/%20Particle%20Physics/Download/7067)

Appendix on Dr. Simhony’s Cubic Lattice Proposal

The principal objections to Dr. Simhony’s cubic lattice proposal, which take precedence over all other objections, centre on the elasticity that is involved in the electromagnetic wave propagation mechanism. Simhony’s electron-positron model is stabilized on the basis of an equilibrium between an electrostatic force of attraction acting between neighbouring electrons and positrons, on the one hand, and a counterbalancing short range repulsive force (SRR), on the other hand. Electromagnetic waves propagating through this medium would naturally involve a self-restoring elasticity based on both of these two counterbalancing forces. These two forces would therefore determine the mathematical formula for the $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}$ field term in Maxwell’s displacement current, $\epsilon\partial\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}/\partial t$, which is an essential ingredient in the derivation of the electromagnetic wave equation in $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}$, which takes the form $\nabla^2\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mu\epsilon\partial^2\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}/\partial t^2$. In order to enable this electromagnetic wave equation to be derived, the $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}$ field term in the displacement current must be such that $\nabla\times\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}} = -\partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t$, as per Faraday’s law for time-varying electromagnetic induction, but neither of the two counterbalancing forces in question satisfy Faraday’s law. Both are position dependent radial forces where the curl will be zero.

Additionally, in order to enable the electromagnetic wave equation to be derived for Simhony’s model, the $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}$ field must have a zero divergence. This creates an inescapable fatal consequence for Simhony’s cubic lattice proposal in relation to Earnshaw’s theorem. If the SRR obeys the inverse square law just like the attractive electrostatic force that it is designed to oppose, then the structure will collapse since there can be no stable static equilibrium nodes. That is the reason why Simhony proposes that his short-range repulsive force must obey a higher inverse power law than the inverse square law. If however his SRR does not exactly obey the inverse square law, the divergence of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}$ will not be zero and so it will therefore conflict with the electromagnetic wave equation, and hence Simhony’s proposed cubic lattice structure cannot possibly be the medium for the propagation of light. The epola is therefore electromagnetically bankrupt.

Defenders of Simhony’s cubic lattice structure however object to this criticism. A number of counter arguments in defence of Simhony’s cubic lattice structure have been advanced as follows,

- (1) That because intrinsic magnetic spin moment exists, as is demonstrated by the Stern-Gerlach experiment, Simhony's SRR might be due to the intrinsic magnetic spin moment of the electrons and positrons.

But it doesn't matter what causes the short range repulsive force. The objections cited above stand, no matter what the cause is. Claiming that the SRR is caused by intrinsic magnetic spin moment does not alter the arguments in the slightest. At any rate, intrinsic magnetic spin moment would only provide a repulsive force in the equatorial plane and so would be unable to stabilize a cubic lattice against a spherically symmetric electrostatic force of attraction.

- (2) That even though the SRR has a non-inverse square law relationship, the zero-divergence requirement of the electromagnetic wave equation is nevertheless satisfied because the \mathbf{E} field in the displacement mechanism is not coming from the inter-particle forces, but rather it applies 'across the lattice' and not within the lattice.

The very essence of displacement current, within the context of an electron-positron sea that acts as the medium for the propagation of light, is that the displacement mechanism relates to the displacement of the particles from their equilibrium positions within the lattice, and to the ensuing restorative force. The \mathbf{E}_k field in the displacement current is therefore most certainly based on the inter-particle forces.

- (3) That the cubic lattice is a dynamic equilibrium and not a static equilibrium, by virtue of the fact that the electrons and positrons vibrate about their static equilibrium positions, and that hence Earnshaw's theorem doesn't apply.

This objection is based on a misunderstanding of the meaning of static equilibrium. Static equilibrium in the context means that particles can vibrate about their static equilibrium positions. Vibrations about a static equilibrium position do not make it into a dynamic equilibrium. A dynamic equilibrium exists when a stable dynamic configuration remains in a steady state by virtue of the mode of motion of the individual constituents. An example of dynamic equilibrium is that of the motion of the planets around the Sun, whereby the steady state configuration depends on the sustaining of the already existing motion.

- (4) That crystal salt has a cubic lattice structure, and so it must be possible for an electron-positron sea to have a cubic lattice structure.

This objection fails on the grounds that we don't fully know what is going on inside a salt crystal, whereas we do know exactly what is going on within Simhony's proposed cubic lattice array of electrons and positrons, and we can hence clearly see the flaw in Simhony's proposal. At any rate, salt is not the medium for the propagation of light and doesn't therefore have to conform to Maxwell's equations.

- (5) That $E = mc^2$ applies to the lattice energy in crystal salt, and so if $E = mc^2$ also applies to the binding energy in the electron-positron sea, then the electron-positron sea must also have a cubic lattice structure.

The equation $E = mc^2$ follows directly from Newton's equation for the speed of a wave in an elastic solid, simply by multiplying Newton's equation across by volume. There is no stipulation that Newton's equation applies only to cubic lattice structures and there is certainly no basis to believe that it would not apply to alternative structures that involve discrete dipoles within a dielectric, such as the double helix structure proposed in this article.

- (6) That Maxwell's equations may not be quite universal, and that the electromagnetic wave propagation mechanism within the cubic lattice is governed by rules that lie in the region where Maxwell's equations err.

Maxwell's equations govern electromagnetic phenomena and electromagnetic waves. It is highly unlikely that the medium for the propagation of light will be governed by rules that lie outside the jurisdiction of Maxwell's equations.

- (7) That magnets can levitate in a gravitational field and that therefore Earnshaw's theorem is wrong.

There could however be a number of reasons why magnets levitate without invalidating Earnshaw's theorem. For a start, the point of origin of the gravitational field is different from the point of origin of the magnetic field, but much more likely is the fact that magnetic repulsion is not an inverse square law force, but rather has a higher inverse power law. Earnshaw's theorem is a mathematical theorem that cannot be wrong in principle. Any apparent breaches of this law can only be due to unknown physical effects. Simhony's cubic lattice structure, being merely a theoretical proposal based on classical mechanics, does not contain any unknown physical effects that might undermine the operation of Earnshaw's theorem. Indeed it's with Earnshaw's theorem in mind that Simhony insists that his short range repulsive must have a non-inverse square law relationship.

- (8) That if the magnetic axes of the intrinsic magnetic spin moment of the electrons and positrons were precessing, then the repulsive force would be shared out in all radial directions over time, and that furthermore, this

precessional effect would mean that we are dealing with a dynamic equilibrium and not a static equilibrium, and that hence Earnshaw's theorem does not need to apply.

Earnshaw's theorem would still apply, because the required stability of the cubic lattice structure is based on the linear displacements and separation distances of the electrons and positrons. Neither rotation nor precession of the electrons and positrons would in any way impact on Earnshaw's theorem within the context of a cubic lattice. This is despite the fact that there would be no rational reason for believing that the magnetic axes of the electrons and positrons would undergo such a sustained forced precession that is so well regulated for the specific purpose of causing Simhony's short range repulsive force to act radially in all directions. There wouldn't even be any basis for assuming that the magnetic axis would rotate on an axis fixed in space, never mind that this rotational axis would precess. The natural state of affairs is for neighbouring magnets that are facing each other with like poles, to re-orientate themselves and then attract each other. Intrinsic magnetic spin moment would therefore join forces with the electrostatic force and cause the cubic lattice structure to collapse. Intrinsic magnetic spin moment would have the complete opposite effect to that desired for the purpose of supplying Simhony's short range repulsive force.

More generally in response to (9), Simhony's cubic lattice structure fails because the required short range repulsive force cannot simultaneously satisfy the two mutually exclusive constraints, those being Maxwell's equations and Earnshaw's theorem. It is impossible to satisfy both of these two constraints, because they both depend exactly on whether or not the short range repulsive force obeys the inverse square law. If it obeys the inverse square law, the structure will collapse. If it doesn't obey the inverse square law, then it conflicts with Maxwell's equations. It doesn't matter what causes the short range repulsive force, because no matter what causes it, it must necessarily still fail to satisfy one of these two constraints. The fact that the required radial repulsive force might be caused by a fancy piece of gyration does not in any way make it immune from the two constraints.

- (9) That a spinning magnet levitates against gravity in defiance of Earnshaw's theorem, due to the fact that it is spinning, and that hence Simhony's short range repulsive force could defy Earnshaw's theorem if it were to be caused by intrinsic magnetic spin moment.

The fact that the levitating magnet is spinning has no bearing on the fact that a static equilibrium node has been established between the magnetic field and the gravitational field. The gyroscopic stability merely prevents the magnet from flipping over. We can levitate ring magnets that are not spinning, by placing them over a pole. The intrinsic magnetic spin moment of electrons and positrons on the other hand is a quantum mechanics concept that is the cause of the magnetic field surrounding the electrons and positrons, and its effect would be to cause actual force, both repulsive and attractive. We cannot therefore establish an equivalence between the role that the spin of a classical magnet plays in causing gyroscopic stability during magnetic levitation, on the one hand, and the role of intrinsic magnetic spin moment in causing a stabilizing repulsive force, on the other hand. At any rate, none of this addresses the core objection detailed in number (1) above regarding the divergence of a non-inverse square law force field.

- (10) That Maxwell didn't know about the positron, and that if he had, he would have adopted Simhony's cubic lattice model instead.

This assertion fails on the basis that when Maxwell was deriving the electromagnetic wave equation in his 1865 paper "*A Dynamical Theory of the Electromagnetic Field*", he was quite specific about the fact that he was working within the context of a dielectric elastic medium. Maxwell was already in effect assuming electrons and positrons in principle, even if he wasn't referring to them by name. Furthermore, Maxwell's sea of molecular vortices was the hydrodynamical basis for what became "*Maxwell's equations*". It's hardly likely that Maxwell would have substituted his model for a cubic lattice structure that so blatantly contradicts his now famous equations in the most important aspect i.e. with respect to the displacement current mechanism that is involved in the transfer of electromagnetic energy through space.