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Abstract. Electromagnetic radiation in deep space, such as starlight, constitutes a propagated disturbance in the prevailing background magnetic field. EM waves can therefore either be directed along the magnetic lines of force, or perpendicular to them, or at any angle in-between. With reference to the double helix theory of the magnetic field [1], the common denominator as between perpendicular radiation and parallel radiation will be established.

The Electromagnetic Wave Equations

I. The original electromagnetic wave equation for wireless radiation,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{H} = \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{H}}{\partial t^2}$$  \(1\)

was derived in 1864 for the magnetic intensity vector \(\mathbf{H}\) by Scottish physicist James Clerk Maxwell. It appeared in his 1865 paper “A Dynamical Theory of the Electromagnetic Field”, and it was derived in connection with Ampère’s Circuital Law, \(\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = -\varepsilon_0 \partial^2 \mathbf{A}/\partial t^2\), and the magnetic induction equation, \(\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mu_0 \mathbf{H}\), where \(\mathbf{A}\) is the electromagnetic momentum [2]. Since \(\mathbf{H}\) is a vorticity in the momentum field, equation (1) must be describing the propagation of angular acceleration through a sea of tiny aethereal vortices that pervades all of space [3], [4], [5], [6].

This is further confirmed by the fact that another EM wave equation can be derived for the electric field vector \(\mathbf{E}\), where \(\mathbf{E} = -\partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t\), but only providing that \(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0\). This could be the case for a radial \(\mathbf{E}\) if it obeys an inverse square law in distance, but then this would mean that \(\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0\), whereas the derivation requires that \(\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu_0 \partial \mathbf{H}/\partial t\) (Faraday’s Law). The only alternative is that \(\mathbf{E}\)
represents a force that accelerates \( A \) transversely or axially to the polar origin, as would be the case in the context of a vortex that is undergoing angular acceleration. We will call the force \( E_K \) in order to distinguish it from the radial electrostatic force, \( E_S \). In a steady state magnetic field, the momentum density, \( A \), will represent the aether circulation within the individual vortices and no transfer will be taking place between neighbouring vortices, but in the dynamic state where angular acceleration takes place, there will be an overflow of aether from the vortices into their immediate neighbours. This is known as time varying electromagnetic induction and it is the basis of electromagnetic waves.

The speed of these waves is \( c \), where \( c^2 = 1/\mu_0\varepsilon_0 \), with \( \mu_0 \) representing magnetic permeability and \( \varepsilon_0 \) representing electric permittivity, and where \( c \) is the speed of light. The magnetic permeability is related to the magnetic flux density while the electric permittivity is inversely related to the elasticity and the dielectric constant. The equation \( c^2 = 1/\mu_0\varepsilon_0 \) is then essentially Newton’s equation for the speed of a wave in an elastic solid, equivalent to \( E = mc^2 \) in the context [3].

**Perpendicular (Equatorial) EM Radiation**

**II.** In general the proposition is that space is a dielectric filled with tiny dipolar aether vortices that act like miniature electric circuits [3], [4], [5]. The aether itself is the fundamental electric fluid from which all matter is made, and hence its composition cannot be expressed in terms of observable matter other than to say that it is the stuff that gives fluids their characteristics. Each vortex would comprise of an aether sink (electron) in orbit with an aether source (positron). This would enable the operation of both Faraday’s Law and Ampère’s Circuital Law, by providing miniature circulating electric currents to be present everywhere in space. The time-varying electromagnetic induction process would take the form of an overflow of aether from one vortex to its neighbour, in conjunction with angular acceleration [6].

This then brings us to the issue of alignment and anisotropy. It needs to be shown how the electromagnetic wave propagation mechanism is the same, no matter what the orientation is between the ray and the prevailing background magnetic field lines, whether it be perpendicular or parallel. As per the double helix theory of the magnetic field [1], the vortices will all be spinning in the same direction as their immediate neighbours while aligned along their mutual rotation axes which trace out the prevailing solenoidal magnetic lines of force. In the case of a single magnetic field line in the steady state, there will be a cancelling flow of aether in both directions along the double helix, from positron to electron, while there will be zero flow between vortices in the equatorial plane. Since two neighbouring vortices will be rotating in the same direction, then in the equatorial plane they will be pressing against each other with centrifugal force while striving to dilate, in the manner that the water in
Newton’s rotating bucket presses outwards from the inside. This accounts for magnetic repulsion between like-magnetic poles since the field lines from each like-pole meet laterally.

However, in the dynamic state when electromagnetic radiation is passing through, the vortices will be angularly accelerating. The initial angular acceleration will have been caused at some point by the tangential action of an accelerating aethereal electric current (see Appendix I), and each subsequent angular acceleration along the line of the wave will be accompanied by an overflow of aether from vortex to vortex. This net flow is what gives EM radiation its mass.

In the case of perpendicular radiation, the process begins with Ampère’s Circuital Law in an AC emission circuit. This law describes how the tiny vortices in the space surrounding the conduction wire seek to align themselves around the electric current in the wire, such that their mutual rotation axes trace out the magnetic $\mathbf{H}$ field lines which form solenoidal concentric rings around the electric current. It is suggested here that excess aether flows from the wire into the vortices at the start of the process. The automatic alignment will be due to some fundamental gyroscopic effect in fluid dynamics that lies at the root of Ampère’s Circuital Law. In the steady state, Ampère’s Circuital Law will make sure that the circulating aether in the vortices, at the point of contact, will be flowing in the opposite direction to the aethereal current in the wire. This will prevent any further aether exchange between the conducting wire and the magnetic field since aether cannot pass laterally through itself. This is the fundamental hydrodynamical basis behind centrifugal force. There will be no radiation in the steady state, and when the power is switched off, the magnetic field, acting in the manner of a flywheel, will discharge its excess aether back into the conducting wire again, giving the current a final forward surge.

Aether transfer from conducting wire to vortices only occurs in the transient state when the vortices are precessing. In an AC emission circuit, this precession occurs when the electric current changes its direction, and so the vortices will therefore undergo a 180 degrees precession once every half-cycle, at the moment of the reversal. When the current reverses its direction it will then be flowing in the same direction as the aether in the adjacent vortices. The electron in each of these vortices draws the aether in, and this is the basis of the transverse $\mathbf{E}_K$ field that triggers the EM radiation process. Initially the $\mathbf{H}$ field, which is derived from the vorticity of each tiny vortex, will be perpendicular to the $\mathbf{E}_K$ field, and this will continue, within the context of the vortex, as $\mathbf{H}$ rotates on an axis perpendicular to the direction of propagation. At the 90 degrees stage in the cycle, vorticity, $\mathbf{H}$, and hence the kinetic energy will be maximum, while $\mathbf{E}_K$, being a force per unit charge which represents the potential energy factor, will have vanished. During the next quarter cycle, as the aether flow direction in the vortex begins to align with that of the next vortex further out, pressurized aether emerges from the positron and pushes against that next vortex. An $\mathbf{E}_K$
field is induced on it transversely, with a corresponding reaction against the first vortex. This back-EMF causes an angularly decelerating torque to act on the first vortex, so that by the time it has completed a 180 degrees precession, it will have returned once more to a state of minimum vorticity. Its excess aether will have been drawn across to the next vortex further out by that vortex’s electron. The EM radiation process will have begun.

Beyond the wire, the cycle of events as the EM wave radiates outwards, is in line with Faraday’s Law and Bernoulli’s Principle. The state of the aether in the vortices alternates between pressure, $E_K$, and flow, $A$, where $\nabla \times A = \mu H$. It therefore alternates between potential energy and kinetic energy. The aether that swirls from the positron of one vortex into the electron of the next vortex further out is Maxwell’s displacement current, known to Maxwell as the electromagnetic momentum, but known today in the textbooks as the magnetic vector potential, and represented by the vector field, $A$. The Poynting vector, $E_K \times H$, will remain positive throughout the process because of the reversal of both signs during the precession.

In summary the stages are, (i) pressurized aether from the conducting wire is drawn into the electron of the receiving vortex, (ii) this causes precession in line with Ampère’s Circuital Law, (iii) receiving electron drains the pressure away and the vortex swirls faster, (iv) maximum vorticity is reached after a quarter-cycle, (v) precession continues on its own angular momentum, (vi) excess pressurized aether emerges from the positron, (vii) vorticity begins to decrease as pressurized aether presses against the next vortex along the line, where the cycle then repeats.

This fine-grained vortex process explains why EM radiation at the fundamental level is totally coherent. It is a highly tuned mechanism that prevents the random scattering of the flowing aether. The process also demonstrates that the fundamental root of Faraday’s law of time-varying EM induction lies in Bernoulli’s Principle. Pressure and flow are interchangeable. This is the basis of the law of conservation of energy. The changing magnetic field, that is the cause of inducing an electric field in Faraday’s Law, can be seen to be a particular case of the changing of velocity that accompanies a change in hydrostatic pressure as per Bernoulli’s Principle. This fine-grained vortex process further exposes the Faraday time-varying electric field, $E_K$, as being a transverse component in a vortex, where the radial component would be the Coulomb electrostatic field $E_S$. The circumferential speed of the electrons and positrons in the vortices is the same as the average flow speed of aether between the positrons and electrons, and this speed is the speed of light, $c$, and so it should not make any difference whether EM radiation in space is perpendicular or parallel to the prevailing magnetic lines of force.
Parallel (Axial) EM Radiation

III. Once the EM waves have left the vicinity of the emission source, they can pass through the prevailing background magnetic field lines at any angle. It is proposed that the mechanism described in Section II above applies equally to EM radiation propagating at any angle relative to the prevailing magnetic lines of force. This is possible if the precession axis in the active electron-positron vortex is perpendicular to the wave propagation direction. The point can be illustrated in the case of EM radiation propagating axially along a magnetic line of force in conjunction with the same stages described in Section II above, as in (i) pressurized aether is drawn into the electron of the receiving vortex, (ii) the initial effect of the pressure will be to cause the receiving vortex to precess while, (iii) its electron drains the aether pressure away and the vortex swirls faster, (iv) maximum vorticity is reached after a quarter-cycle, (v) precession continues under its own angular momentum, (vi) excess pressurized aether emerges from the positron, (vii) vorticity begins to decrease as pressurized aether presses against the next vortex along the line, where the cycle then repeats along the double helix.

Conclusion

IV. It is generally accepted that light is an electromagnetic wave and that hence it involves the propagation of electric and magnetic fields. However, since there are no apparent source electric circuits in deep space, questions are often asked regarding the geometry and physical nature of these propagating electric and magnetic fields in cases such as starlight or long wavelength radio waves.

We have certain electromagnetic relationships that help us to determine the physical structure of the luminiferous medium. \( \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mu \mathbf{H} \) and \( \mathbf{E}_K = -\partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t \) suggest that \( \mathbf{A} \) is a momentum corresponding to Maxwell’s displacement current \( [8] \), and that \( \mathbf{H} \) is a vorticity/angular momentum. These relationships paint a picture of energy flow in connection with the angular acceleration of a vortex. This angular acceleration could be a precession, or a change of magnitude in the vorticity, or both.

In the proposed theory that space is densely packed with electron-positron vortices, it follows therefore that the electromagnetic wave equations apply on the picoscopic scale of the individual vortices, and that the electric and magnetic field vectors must be understood on this scale and in this context, and as such, the magnetic field vector \( \mathbf{H} \) refers to the vorticity of the individual tiny vortices. The plane wave sinusoidal solutions of Maxwell’s EM wave equations indicate that \( \mathbf{E}_K, \mathbf{H} \), and the direction of propagation are mutually perpendicular, and that \( \mathbf{E}_K \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) are in phase. In Section II however, it was explained that they are in fact out of phase by 90 degrees. This discrepancy is explained on the basis that the sinusoidal solutions are only looking at projections of \( \mathbf{E}_K \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) at
right angles to the direction of propagation. As each vortex precesses on an axis perpendicular to the direction of propagation, it actually reaches maximum vorticity, \( \mathbf{H} \), when \( \mathbf{H} \) is parallel to this direction, while \( \mathbf{E}_K \) is minimum.

People ask why we cannot measure the magnetic field in a radio wave. It is because the magnetic field implied in the EM wave equation in \( \mathbf{H} \) is not on the scale of the radio wavelengths. The electromagnetic quantities in the EM wave equations apply to the tiny electron-positron vortices that make up the luminiferous medium. There is no propagation of magnetic fields on the large scale. In fact, no magnetic fields propagate at all. A disturbance propagates through an already existing magnetic field and Maxwell’s equations in connection with EM radiation operate in the context of a single vortex. This contrasts with the case of transmission line pulses in which the magnetic, \( \mathbf{H} \), and electrostatic, \( \mathbf{E}_S \), fields are observable on the laboratory scale [9] and travel in their own right. In the case of high frequency gamma rays, in certain circumstances, resonance with the natural frequency of the individual vortices can split them into an individual electron and an individual positron [7].
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Appendix I

It is proposed that the aether is the ancient electric fluid that was abandoned in the nineteenth century, and that electric current is primarily a flow of pure aether. Positive particles are pushed along with the flow while negative particles eat their way in the opposite direction. These electric particles adopt the acceleration of the electric current, but due to resistance $R$ (Ohm’s law $V = IR$), they reach a terminal speed much below the speed of the current itself.

Appendix II

(Cause and Effect in Faraday’s Law and Ampère’s Circuital Law)

It’s well known that a changing magnetic field causes an electric field even though the Maxwell-Faraday curl equation,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} \quad (1A)$$

does not actually express cause and effect. Nevertheless, the duality with respect to Ampère’s Circuital Law in connection with Maxwell’s displacement current, as in,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \quad (2A)$$

has led many to believe that, reciprocally, a changing electric field causes a magnetic field. While it might appear like this in the case of displacement current in wireless radiation, or in the case of polarization current in a dielectric, this is only so because the aethereal under-current that actually causes the magnetic field is being ignored.

A magnetic field is caused by a uni-directional flow of pure electric fluid (aether), and the electric field which drives this electric current does not have to be changing. The correct general rule is that,

1. A changing magnetic field causes an electric field that can drive a changing electric current.
2. If an electric field drives a changing electric current, this causes a changing magnetic field.
The two curl equations above cover the exact same situation where a changing magnetic field is causing an electric field. The induced electric field in question will necessarily be changing too, but that is incidental and nothing to do with any cause. It’s only however in the context of wireless EM radiation that these two curl equations can simultaneously apply, and in respect of the theory being proposed in the main article, it should be clarified that where the two equations simultaneously apply, the $E_k$ term will apply in one rotating electron-positron dipole whereas the $H$ term will apply in an immediately adjacent dipole.