

INTRODUCING THE NEO-HERACLIDEAN MODEL

KEYWORD

ROTATING CENTRALIZED EARTH

ABSTRACT

It was known as “Cosmography” in Renaissance Europe to propose an arrangement of the celestial objects. This is the Cosmography of Heraclides of Pontus of ancient Athens, Greece (slightly revised).

Its is proposed as the actual physical model to replace the model of Nicolaus Copernicus. More than the Rotating Centralized Earth, the Earth occupies the innermost concentric revolution as the Earth’s movement “predicts” the retrograde episodes of the planets.

INTRODUCING THE NEO-HERACLIDEAN MODEL

My name is John Roach and I live in the United States of America. I am a natural born citizen. I was born in the State of Kansas in the Year of 1955. I accidentally replicated 90% of the model of Astronomy by Heraclides of Pontus (387 B.C.-310 B.C.) months or even a year or two before I learned of his existence and model. Heraclides revised the model of Astronomy of Philolaus, the Pythagorean Astronomer. Heraclides considered himself a Pythagorean Astronomer. Pythagorean Astronomy is a revision of Zoroastrianism Cosmology. Pythagoras was a war-captive in ancient Persia.

Aristarchus of Samos (310 B.C.-230 B.C.) revised the model of Heraclides. Nicolaus Copernicus learned of the existence of Philolaus and of Aristarchus, but Copernicus was apparently ignorant of the existence of Heraclides.

Aristotle used his considerable political capital to rule the Academia of ancient Athens, Greece but nowhere else. Pythagorean Philosophers studied the model of Heraclides wherever Hellenistic culture existed. (1.) The model of Heraclides influenced Astronomy in North Africa in 400 A.D. The Centralized Earth rotated on its vertical axis. Mercury and Venus had 'heliocentric' orbits while the Sun revolved annually around the Centralized Rotating Earth. The second movement of the Earth of an innermost concentric revolution had been lost in the transmission over the course of six centuries. However, the second movement explained the retrograde episodes of the planets Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn.

Twenty-four year old Aryabhata of India seemed to have plagiarized this Pythagorean Model from North Africa in the Year of 500 A.D. In 900 A.D., the Jewish Astronomer of Bagdad received the Hindu Model of Astronomy and the negative criticism of Ptolemy's Model of Astronomy began.

Ptolemy had a short-lived acceptance in the Academia of Medieval Islamic Philosophy. The negative criticism began around 1000 A.D.

In this Neo-Heraclidean Model which I propose, the planet Venus is not 'heliocentric'. But, it is not quite 'geocentric' either. Only Earth's Moon is 'geocentric'. All of the celestial objects (except the natural satellites) revolve around the medial point of the AXIS OF ROTATION.

Indeed, the entire physical Universe revolves around the AXIS OF ROTATION. A N.A.S.A. Scientist calls it the "AXIS of EVIL". He has seen it in the raw empirical data. (2.)

In my model, the Rotating Earth revolves around this axis at the rate of four revolutions per Solar/Sidereal Year. The Sun revolves around this same axis at the rate of two revolutions per Solar/Sidereal Year. This model predicts the retrograde episodes of the Sun during the two Solar Solstices. When this retrograde episode is detected and confirmed, then it will be clear and apparent which model is accurate.

I propose a scientific experiment which I have dubbed "ARCHIMEDES". A huge adjustable magnifying glass will focus the Sunlight of the Sunrise on the Eastern horizon to a receiving station many miles to the West. The focused Sunlight will scorch a scroll of heat-sensitive material. Then, the scroll is advanced incrementally for the next Sunrise. Testing will begin 28 days prior to the recognized Solstice and will continue daily and will then cease 28 days after the recognized Solstice. A total of 57 days of testing: 8 weeks and a day.

Begin with a distance of 5 km. The curvature of the Earth is a limiting factor. The Sun will dance upon the Eastern horizon. In a perfect World, the Nobel Prize in Physics awaits the person with the financial capital to conduct this experiment and the political capital NOT TO BE IGNORED!

Elsewhere on the Internet:

I posted on the Internet website viXra.org. Semantic Scholar picked up a posting before I removed it. Semantic Scholar uses A.I. to choose its selections. A living breathing person chose a posting for DocPlayer.net.

I posted (and will continue to post) on General Science Journal. Much of the content of a proposed website has posted previously on viXra.org or gsjournal.net.

I posted on someone else's BlogSpot, also. LAWFUL REBEL is the BlogSpot of Nigel Howitt. On Episode 123, this BlogSpot functioned as a commercial for the model of Astronomy of an author whose pseudonym is Simon Shack, the producer of the documentary, SEPTEMBER CLUES. Actually, I want Simon What's-His-Name to be very successful in his venture to convince people that the Copernican Heliocentricity Model is the inaccurate model. He has the e-Book, the website, the YouTube channel, and a few friends with BlogSpots such as Nigel Howitt. Once a person exits the Copernican Model, there is no return. Don't worry, the Neo-Heraclidean Model provide secure footing for the wayward Pilgrim. I revisited LAWFUL REBEL and discovered that Nigel Howitt has made revisions by removing one of my posts and by removing a post of Simon-What's-His-Name. (I can supply the original transcript upon request.)

Thank you, Dr. Danny Faulkner for your September 4th, 2020 article in ANSWERS TO GENESIS. Expect a critical analysis soon.

Sing to the melody of “Okie from Muskogee”

By Merle Haggard

I'm proud to be a Science Denier on the Internet.

A place where our opinions can be heard.

We offer a service to the trusting and gullible.

What you read in the textbooks is not the final word.

Don't Disturb the Sleepwalkers

The Lambda and the CMB,

Expansion Gravity in 2015.

The Plummet hangs true –

Blinded eyes problems unseen

Venus dancing with Orion.

Or kissing the Crescent Moon.

K.C. Paul of India saw the conjunction -

Vindication for him must arrive soon.

Who wrote the D.N.A. Code?

Sir Fred Hoyle seemed to ask.

Which Timeline of Evolutionary Events?

Concordant Cosmology assigned the task.

Don't disturb the Sleepwalkers -

Moving in their hypnotized trance.

Don't threaten their Worldview.

Of coincidence and random chance.

**DEDICATED TO JAMES CARTER
OF ENUMCLAW, WA**

**Alice scared the mice in the courtroom
when she grew, stopped, and grew again.
Henri Poincare pondered if REALITY grew –
could such change be detected by mortal man?**

**Linear expansion of all things in existence –
occurring while Poincare slept
would be undetected in the morning
nature's secret carefully kept.**

**Even during Poincare's waking moments
Linear Expansion continued unabated.
NOT A SHRED OF PHYSICAL EVIDENCE –
the physical process uncelebrated.**

**But Alice grew, stopped, and grew again.
The mice comprehended and were not amused.
NONLINEAR EXPANSION LEAVES A CLUE
for the observant to pick up and use.**

**NONLINEAR EXPANSION IS ACCELERATION.
NEWTON & EINSTEIN AGREED IN PRINCIPLE.
FALLING OBJECTS ACCELERATE UNIFORMLY
COULD A CAUSAL AGENCY BE SENSIBLE?**

**A GYROSCOPE CANNOT LIE.
WEAPONS OF WAR & PROBES OF DEEP SPACE
THE ACCELEROMETER ANOTHER EXAMPLE.
THE ANSWER STARING US IN THE FACE.**

John Roach

07-16-2019

Scientific Fraud by Concordant Cosmology

Santa Claus – are you for real?

Did you place gifts under the tree?

Biology has a Santa Claus of its own

Charles Darwin's Evolutionary Theory.

Ask a child about Charles Darwin

Ask a child about camouflage

Ask a child about eco-systems

Evolution is a clever dodge.

Ben Franklin reported on the debates

Between Clergy & the Rationalists.

Mother Nature demonstrates Intelligent Design

Camouflage & the Chameleon obvious manifests.

Mendel's research upset the statisticians

Genetics is not a function of random chance

But the statisticians employed magical thinking

Give it more than the passing glance.

Santa Claus & magical thinking

In scientific textbooks taught in the main

Indoctrinated & brainwashed disciples

Sir Fred Hoyle dared to complain.

Genetics & Evolution are polar opposites

They proceed in opposite directions

Don't be fooled by the semantics

Words chosen for their connotations.

Charles Darwin as Santa Claus

The Worldview with an obvious intent

Dr. Louis A. Frank's Ice Meteors

Supplying water for the Garden Planet.

Ask yourself – is Santa Claus for real?

Who Wrote the D.N.A. Code?

Concordant Cosmology and the Big Bang Idea

A new Timeline to replace the old.

Ask a child about Santa Claus

Ask a child about the North Pole

Ask a child about the flying reindeer

A child knows all there is to know.

Ask yourself – is this scientific fraud?

Abandoning scientific method & academic rigor

Who questions a judge in his own courtroom?

The verdict an obvious manufacture.

Which Timeline of Evolutionary Events?

What happened in the Field of Biology?

1963 or 1971?

Scientific Fraud by Concordant Cosmology.

The modern synthesis another scientific fraud

Statisticians doing the Hand Jive

The same people who told Erwin Schrodinger

His cat is simultaneously Dead & Alive.

Population studies by the statisticians

Shorn Samson's hair & gouged his eyes.

Employed Mendel as the Beast of Burden

Genetics became Evolution's prize.

Then, Population studies detected a link

Where no link should have been

Epigenetics the unwelcomed intruder

The Darwinists first disowned it as kin.

Then the D.N.A. displayed its Artificial Intelligence

Running as a sub-routine in the program.

Gene Switches control the process

Ask yourself – Intelligent Design or random?

Gene Switches control Epigenetics

The Philistines laugh at the futility

Samson's hands are upon the pillars

What happened next went down in

History.

A LAMENT FOR DR. PAUL MARMET

**Dr. Paul Marmet was learning things
that no one was supposed to know.
He published scientific papers that threatened the Status Quo.**

**Dr. Paul Marmet opposed Quantum Mechanics
and he opposed Einstein's Relativity.
He opposed the alleged Red-Shift interpretation
and the resultant BIG BANG Theory.**

**Dr. Paul Marmet could not be silenced
and he could not be deterred.
He was a Voice of Dissent,
which the authorities did not want heard.**

**Dr. Paul Marmet had academic tenure,
meaning he could not be replaced.
So, the departments were re-organized
and Dr. Marmet lost his place.**

**Not terminated, laid-off or downsized,
but unemployed, none the less.
Dr. Paul Marmet's name was removed
from the university's faculty list.**

**Their next action was inexcusable
and made their motives clear.
They destroyed the Marvelous Machine,
which Dr. Marmet held so dear.**

<https://www.nelotonphysics.on.ca/info/author.html>

Christian contemplation

Christian contemplation, from *contemplatio* (Latin; Greek θεωρία, *theoria*),^[1] refers to several Christian

Christian contemplation

Contemplative or mystical practice is a longstanding and integral part of the life of Christian churches. In the Eastern Orthodox Churches, the predominant form is *hesychasm* ("stillness"). In both eastern and western Christianity it is part of mystical practices.

Early Christianity

Theoria

Some Neoplatonic ideas were adopted by Christianity,^[26] among them the idea of *theoria* or contemplation, taken over by *Gregory of Nyssa* for example.^[note 1] The Brill Dictionary of Gregory of Nyssa remarks that contemplation in Gregory is described as a "loving contemplation",^[28] and, according to *Thomas Keating*, the Greek Fathers of the Church, in taking over from the Neoplatonists the word *theoria*, attached to it the idea expressed by the Hebrew word *da'ath*, which, though usually translated as "knowledge", is a much stronger term, since it indicates the experiential knowledge that comes with love and that involves the whole person, not merely the mind.^[29] Among the Greek Fathers, Christian *theoria* was not contemplation of Platonic Ideas nor of the astronomical heavens of Pontic Heraclitus, but "studying the Scriptures", with an emphasis on the spiritual sense.^[9]

Later, contemplation came to be distinguished from intellectual life, leading to the identification of θεωρία or *contemplatio* with a *form of prayer*^[9] distinguished from discursive meditation in both East^[30] and West.^[31] Some make a further distinction, within contemplation, between contemplation acquired by human effort and infused contemplation.^{[31][32]}

Allegorical truth

In early Christianity the term "mystikos" referred to three dimensions, which soon became intertwined, namely the biblical, the liturgical and the spiritual or contemplative.^[33] The biblical dimension refers to "hidden" or allegorical interpretations of Scriptures.^{[34][33]} The liturgical dimension refers to the liturgical mystery of the Eucharist, the presence of Christ at the Eucharist.^{[34][33]} The third dimension is the contemplative or experiential knowledge of God.^[33]

Axis of evil (cosmology)

The "**Axis of Evil**" is a name given to an anomaly in astronomical observations of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB). The anomaly appears to give the plane of the Solar System and hence the location of Earth a greater significance than might be expected by chance – a result which has been claimed to be evidence of a departure from the Copernican principle.

Contents

[Overview](#)

[Observations](#)

[Confirmation](#)

[See also](#)

[References](#)

Overview

The Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation signature presents a direct large-scale view of the universe that can be used to identify whether our position or movement has any particular significance. There has been much publicity about analysis of results from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) and Planck mission that show both expected and unexpected anisotropies in the CMB.^[1] The motion of the solar system, and the orientation of the plane of the ecliptic are aligned with features of the microwave sky, which on conventional thinking are caused by structure at the edge of the observable universe.^{[2][3]} Specifically, with respect to the ecliptic plane the "top half" of the CMB is slightly cooler than the "bottom half"; furthermore, the quadrupole and octupole axes are only a few degrees apart, and these axes are aligned with the top/bottom divide.^[4]

Lawrence Krauss is quoted as follows in a 2006 Edge.org article:^[5]

But when you look at CMB map, you also see that the structure that is observed, is in fact, in a weird way, correlated with the plane of the earth around the sun. Is this Copernicus coming back to haunt us? That's crazy. We're looking out at the whole universe. There's no way there should be a correlation of structure with our motion of the earth around the sun – the plane of the earth around the sun – the ecliptic. That would say we are truly the center of the universe.

Observations

Some anomalies in the background radiation have been reported which are aligned with the plane of the Solar System, which contradicts the Copernican principle by suggesting that the Solar System's alignment is special.^[6] Land and Magueijo in 2005 dubbed this alignment the "axis of evil" owing to the implications for current models of the cosmos,^[7] although several later studies have shown systematic errors in the collection of that data and the way it is processed.^{[8][9][10]} Various studies of the CMB anisotropy data either confirm the Copernican principle,^[11] model the alignments in a non-homogeneous universe still consistent with the principle,^[12] or attempt to explain them as local phenomena.^[13] Some of these alternate explanations were discussed by Copi, *et al.*, who claimed that data from the Planck satellite could shed significant light on whether the preferred direction and alignments were spurious.^{[14][15]} Coincidence is a possible explanation. Chief scientist from WMAP, Charles L. Bennett suggested coincidence and human psychology were involved, "I do think there is a bit of a psychological effect, people want to find unusual things."^[16]

Data from the Planck Telescope published in 2013 has since found stronger evidence for the anisotropy.^[17] "For a long time, part of the community was hoping that this would go away, but it hasn't," says Dominik Schwarz of the University of Bielefeld in Germany.^[18]

There is no consensus on the nature of this and other observed anomalies^[19] and their statistical significance is unclear. For example, a study that includes the Planck mission results shows how masking techniques could introduce errors that when taken into account can render several anomalies, including the Axis of Evil, not statistically significant.^[20] A 2016 study compared isotropic and anisotropic cosmological models against WMAP and Planck data and found no evidence for anisotropy.^[21]

Confirmation

In June, 2020, the 'axis of evil' observation was confirmed by a study conducted by Lior Shamir, which verified the same result via a different measurement.^{[22][23][24]} Shamir commented: "We have two different sky surveys showing the exact same patterns, even when the galaxies are completely different. There is no error that can lead to that. This is the universe that we live in. This is our home."

See also

- Copernican principle – Assumption in Cosmology
- Cosmic microwave background – Electromagnetic radiation as a remnant from an early stage of the universe in Big Bang cosmology
- List of unsolved problems in physics – Wikipedia list article

References

1. Anthony Challinor (2012). "CMB anisotropy science: A review". *Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union*. **8**: 42–52. arXiv:1210.6008 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.6008>). Bibcode:2013IAUS..288..42C (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013IAUS..288..42C>). doi:10.1017/S1743921312016663 (<https://doi.org/10.1017%2FS1743921312016663>). S2CID 41756934 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:41756934>).
2. CERN Courier "Does the motion of the solar system affect the microwave sky? (http://www.cerncourier.com/main/article/44/10/4/1/CCEsky1_12-04)"
3. C. J. Copi; D. Huterer; D. J. Schwarz; G. D. Starkman (2006). "On the large-angle anomalies of the microwave sky". *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*. **367** (1): 79–102. arXiv:astro-ph/0508047 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0508047>). Bibcode:2006MNRAS.367...79C (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006MNRAS.367...79C>). CiteSeerX 10.1.1.490.6391 (<https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.490.6391>). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09980.x (<https://doi.org/10.1111%2Fj.1365-2966.2005.09980.x>). S2CID 6184966 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:6184966>). preprint (<http://www.arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0508047>)
4. Sutter, Paul (2017-07-29). "The (Cosmological) Axis of Evil" (<https://www.space.com/37334-earth-ordinary-cosmological-axis-evil.html>). *Space.com*.
5. "The Energy of Empty Space That Isn't Zero" (<https://www.edge.org/conversation/the-energy-of-empty-space-that-isn-39t-zero>). *www.edge.org*. 2006-05-07. Retrieved 2018-08-05.

6. Mariano, Antonio; Perivolaropoulos, Leandros (2013). "CMB maximum temperature asymmetry axis: Alignment with other cosmic asymmetries". *Physical Review D*. **87** (4): 043511. arXiv:1211.5915 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.5915>).
Bibcode:2013PhRvD..87d3511M (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013PhRvD..87d3511M>). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.043511 (<https://doi.org/10.1103%2FPhysRevD.87.043511>). ISSN 1550-7998 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/1550-7998>). S2CID 119258571 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:119258571>).
7. Land, Kate; João Magueijo, João (2005). "Examination of Evidence for a Preferred Axis in the Cosmic Radiation Anisotropy". *Physical Review Letters*. **95** (7): 071301. arXiv:astro-ph/0502237 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0502237>). Bibcode:2005PhRvL..95g1301L (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005PhRvL..95g1301L>).
doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.071301 (<https://doi.org/10.1103%2FPhysRevLett.95.071301>). PMID 16196772 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16196772>).
8. Liu, Hao; Li, Ti-Pei (2009). "Improved CMB Map from WMAP Data". arXiv:0907.2731v3 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.2731v3>) [astro-ph (<https://arxiv.org/archive/astro-ph>)].
9. Sawangwit, Utane; Shanks, Tom (2010). "Lambda-CDM and the WMAP Power Spectrum Beam Profile Sensitivity". arXiv:1006.1270v1 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.1270v1>) [astro-ph (<https://arxiv.org/archive/astro-ph>)].
10. Liu, Hao; et al. (2010). "Diagnosing Timing Error in WMAP Data". *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*. **413** (1): L96–L100. arXiv:1009.2701 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1009.2701>). Bibcode:2011MNRAS.413L..96L (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011MNRAS.413L..96L>). doi:10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01041.x (<https://doi.org/10.1111%2Fj.1745-3933.2011.01041.x>). S2CID 118739762 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:118739762>).
11. Zhang, Pengjie; Stebbins, Albert (2011). "Confirmation of the Copernican Principle at Gpc Radial Scale and above from the Kinetic Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect Power Spectrum". *Physical Review Letters*. **107** (4): 041301. arXiv:1009.3967 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1009.3967>). Bibcode:2011PhRvL.107d1301Z (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011PhRvL.107d1301Z>). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.041301 (<https://doi.org/10.1103%2FPhysRevLett.107.041301>). ISSN 0031-9007 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0031-9007>). PMID 21866989 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21866989>). S2CID 17627683 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:17627683>).
12. Buckley, Robert G.; Schlegel, Eric M. (2013). "CMB dipoles and other low-order multipoles in the quasispherical Szekeres model" (<https://zenodo.org/record/889958>). *Physical Review D*. **87** (2): 023524. arXiv:1907.08684 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.08684>).
Bibcode:2013PhRvD..87b3524B (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013PhRvD..87b3524B>). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.023524 (<https://doi.org/10.1103%2FPhysRevD.87.023524>). ISSN 1550-7998 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/1550-7998>). S2CID 124552647 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:124552647>).
13. Hansen, M.; Kim, J.; Frejsel, A.M.; Ramazanov, S.; Naselsky, P.; Zhao, W.; Burigana, C. (2012). "Can residuals of the solar system foreground explain low multipole anomalies of the CMB?". *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*. **2012** (10): 059. arXiv:1206.6981 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.6981>). Bibcode:2012JCAP...10..059H (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012JCAP...10..059H>). doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/10/059 (<https://doi.org/10.1088%2F1475-7516%2F2012%2F10%2F059>). ISSN 1475-7516 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/1475-7516>). S2CID 118396636 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:118396636>).

14. Copi, Craig J.; Huterer, Dragan; Schwarz, Dominik J.; Starkman, Glenn D. (2010). "Large-angle anomalies in the CMB". *Advances in Astronomy*. **2010**: 1–17. arXiv:1004.5602 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1004.5602>). Bibcode:2010arXiv1004.5602C (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010arXiv1004.5602C>). doi:10.1155/2010/847541 (<https://doi.org/10.1155%2F2010%2F847541>). ISSN 1687-7969 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/1687-7969>). S2CID 13823900 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:13823900>).
15. Copi, Craig J.; Huterer, Dragan; Schwarz, Dominik J.; Starkman, Glenn D. (2007-01-08). "The Uncorrelated Universe: Statistical Anisotropy and the Vanishing Angular Correlation Function in WMAP Years 1-3". *Physical Review D*. **75** (2): 023507. arXiv:astro-ph/0605135 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0605135>). Bibcode:2007PhRvD..75b3507C (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007PhRvD..75b3507C>). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.75.023507 (<https://doi.org/10.1103%2FPhysRevD.75.023507>). ISSN 1550-7998 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/1550-7998>). S2CID 15702227 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:15702227>).
16. "Found: Hawking's initials written into the universe" (<https://www.newscientist.com/article/dn18489-found-hawkings-initials-written-into-the-universe>). *New Scientist*. 2010-02-07.
17. Planck Collaboration (2013). "Planck 2013 results. XXIII. Isotropy and statistics of the CMB". *Astronomy & Astrophysics*. **571** (27): A23. arXiv:1303.5083 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.5083>). Bibcode:2014A&A...571A..23P (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014A&A...571A..23P>). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201321534 (<https://doi.org/10.1051%2F0004-6361%2F201321534>). S2CID 13037411 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:13037411>).
18. Michael Brooks (Apr 30, 2016). "That's odd: Axis of evil stretches across the cosmos" (<https://www.newscientist.com/article/mg23030710-300>). *New Scientist*.
19. Santos, L.; Cabella, P.; Villela, T.; Zhao, W. (2015-10-05). "Influence of Planck foreground masks in the large angular scale quadrant CMB asymmetry". *Astronomy & Astrophysics*. **584**: A115. arXiv:1510.01009 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.01009>). Bibcode:2015A&A...584A.115S (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A&A...584A.115S>). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201526713 (<https://doi.org/10.1051%2F0004-6361%2F201526713>). ISSN 0004-6361 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0004-6361>). S2CID 119028545 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:119028545>).
20. Rassat, A.; Starck, J.-L.; Paykari, P.; Sureau, F.; Bobin, J. (2014-08-04). "Planck CMB Anomalies: Astrophysical and Cosmological Secondary Effects and the Curse of Masking". *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*. **2014** (8): 006. arXiv:1405.1844 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.1844>). Bibcode:2014JCAP...08..006R (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014JCAP...08..006R>). doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/08/006 (<https://doi.org/10.1088%2F1475-7516%2F2014%2F08%2F006>). ISSN 1475-7516 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/1475-7516>). S2CID 119095714 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:119095714>).
21. Saadeh, Daniela; Feeney, Stephen M.; Pontzen, Andrew; Peiris, Hiranya V.; McEwen, Jason D. (2016-09-21). "How isotropic is the Universe?". *Physical Review Letters*. **117** (13): 131302. arXiv:1605.07178 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.07178>). Bibcode:2016PhRvL.117m1302S (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016PhRvL.117m1302S>). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.131302 (<https://doi.org/10.1103%2FPhysRevLett.117.131302>). ISSN 0031-9007 (<https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0031-9007>). PMID 27715088 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27715088>). S2CID 453412 (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:453412>).

22. Shamir, Lior (2020-05-27). "Multipole alignment in the large-scale distribution of spin direction of spiral galaxies". arXiv:2004.02963 (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.02963>) [astro-ph.GA (<https://arxiv.org/archive/astro-ph.GA>)].
23. "K-State study reveals asymmetry in spin directions of galaxies, suggests early universe could have been spinning | Kansas State University | News and Communications Services" (<https://www.k-state.edu/media/newsreleases/2020-06/study-suggests-universe-has-defined-structure.html>). *www.k-state.edu*. Retrieved 2020-10-13.
24. Starr, Michelle. "Patterns Formed by Spiral Galaxies Suggest The Universe's Structure Isn't Totally Random" (<https://www.sciencealert.com/the-spin-directions-of-spiral-galaxies-suggest-the-axis-of-evil-is-real>). *ScienceAlert*. Retrieved 2020-10-13.

Retrieved from "[https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Axis_of_evil_\(cosmology\)&oldid=1005942495](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Axis_of_evil_(cosmology)&oldid=1005942495)"

This page was last edited on 10 February 2021, at 05:10 (UTC).

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.

