

Infinity and Pushing Gravity Lead to Revelations

The Universe is Otherwise – Part 2F.

Paul Schroeder

Pshrodr8@aol.com

3/15/18

The two preceding papers set the stage of the new perspective for science labeled: “Infinity Contradicts Current Cosmologies”. Continuing forward here we investigate how the changes in perspective apply to numerous situations and provide value to these new perspectives. The revelations are detailed in a number of papers that provide views of what is really happening in space and cosmology. This document provides a few summaries of many of my key papers that reveal better perspectives. The many components of the whole system follow. Finally new laws of motion are provided.

Summaries

1. Magnetism.

Magnetism is the other attraction action. It is also a pushing action. Free electrons serve as a cause. When the electrons are flowing they redirect gravity beams by up to 90 degrees so the direct flow of gravity is lessened the sideways redirected gravity flow simulates and becomes a flowing magnetic field created perpendicular to the gravity flow. The example is the electromagnet. The field is outside while the flow is within. The increased push is at one end, the diminished push at the other end. Reading my magnetism is suggested.

2. Creating Matter.

Creation is partly addressed in these papers. The details within the nuclear structure are involved. Matter is built by intersecting coils of the bent beams. The number of coils within the beams and the degree of bending influence the creation and the number of electrons. Matter remains in place while beams flow onward. Reading my creating matter paper is suggested.

3. Rotation – local effects

When beams penetrate a rotating sphere and exit, their direction is changed, bent. The maximum bending occurs at the equator. Further from the equator, at higher latitudes, the

weaker the rotation control becomes. Planets around the sun and moons around planets would lose containment. The energy to drive orbitals decreases with the diminished bending. The decrease continues by latitude to the poles. A beam exiting in Antarctica will mostly wrap around itself and not cause any lateral drive. The polar exiting beams do not offset the incoming beams well and holes can be created. Does the net speed of radiation vary there? Is space travel differently affected by gravity above or below the solar system?

4. The Sun.

The sun is simply larger mass which diminishes penetrating gravity beams more than planets do. When penetrating gravity beams exit, the difference in force between exiting and incoming beams is so great that intense heat and light are the result along with the intense net downward pressure. The difference in force down vs up causes pressure by the dominant beams on the lesser beams which interaction becomes the definition of heat. Light is then the flow away spark from the heat creation. Scientists have been surprised upon recently finding that the maximum heat of the sun is in the corona at the surface rather than being internal. . Original thought of nuclear internal reactions are disproved as is the concept that the center is a very dense source. At the center the gravity beams from all directions are in equality and thus there is no heat. Pushing gravity requires that it be that way.

5. Continental Drift.

The creation of matter begins in the nuclear environment and increases over very long time. Earth and other bodies are growing such that the history of any era can be investigated if the surfaces that cover it can be removed. Rather than drift explanations, the surface changes are functions of expansion. Growth is gradual and all matter, by size, nuclear structures and types that are created increase in complexity. Oil and water began to accumulate at some size of earth and continue to form today.


6. Gravity bumps during eclipses.

Solar gravity force upon earth is expected to diminish during an eclipse of the moon. Instead it diminishes just before and just after an eclipse of the moon. Why didn't the decline occur at the exact time of eclipse? As explained, gravity beams from the sun arrive at earth from behind as they push us in orbit. Thus they are blocked by the moon just before it arrives at eclipse line. Then when the moon has passed total eclipse it blocks those other gravity beams that earth is about to experience by overtaking them. All are surprised that that the diminishment before the eclipse and the diminishment after the eclipse need separate explanations. Gravity's pressure is not simply straight down.

7. Galaxies.

The mistaken idea that gravity is different within galaxies ignores the fact that the solar system is central body dominated and galaxies have no such central body. We have shown that space structure is under the control of rotation. The apparent flow of star positions over time is a function of the rotation of all nearby stars. The picture here shows a series of rotations affecting a series of suns and creating the curves that causes arms. The paper addressing this is somewhat involved but the simple ideas can be seen in the laws of motion section that follows here.

Galaxial Arms



8. Kepler's laws.

No issue was ever raised that the rotating surface of the sun did not fit with Kepler's formula for planetary time and distances in which the first 6 planets are coordinated. Note that although clouds and the atmosphere circle earth faster than earth rotates; celestial bodies revolve earth slower than earth's rotation. The revolution reversal applies to all planets and extends to a geosynchronous point for earth at which the orbital motion matches our rotation. The sun has such a synchronous point also, maybe at 11R, which serves as the focal point of orbital drive. Then calculating the 2 dimensional push as it expands outward to reveal the diminishing push by solar radiation as radial distance grows gives an approximate but insufficient total. There is more solar push from the sun's rotation sourced from greater solar latitudes. Gravity beams exiting the sun just above or below its equator may influence a nearby planet orbit but angle above or below further orbits and have no effect there. The analysis is complex.

9. Einstein and Compton.

a. Regarding general relativity, there is a lack of simplicity in the space time: four dimensional base of relativity theory. The elimination of time as a factor fogs any understanding of motion. At some level mysticism did fade away with a formula leading to a unified field theory. . It states that space causes matter to move and matter causes space to curve. The unified field theory was to unify the [general theory of relativity](#) with [electromagnetism](#),

A "[theory of everything](#)" is closely related to unified field theory, but differs by not requiring the basis of nature to be fields, and also attempts to explain all physical [constants of nature](#) The formula is

$$G_n + A g_w = 8_{pi} G / c^4 \times T_m$$

For my contrary statements: I say: Space is a flow (caused by its radiation contents interacting with matter bodies which transfer their revolution and rotation motion), so given space is flowing it moves matter. Matter allows space to flow through during which the penetrating space beams become modified and redirected so that its overall flow becomes curved.

Space causes matter to move and matter causes space to curve.

b. Einstein proposed photon particles within light waves and an x-ray test by Compton where the waves are deflected supposedly confirmed the photon as a bundle of energy. The thought was that the energy of photoelectrons should increase with intensity. The frequency shouldn't matter. Red and Blue signals were compared and surprisingly intensity didn't matter but frequency did. Supposedly that confirmed the photon. But it doesn't. The different penetrating and rebound action by different frequencies confirms that the variable coil separations of our pushing EM radiation beams are the source.

The graphs, where Compton deflected X-ray beams, show dual waves of different frequencies and variable heights. No reason is known. Using wave coils the bending results in 2 arrivals at different angles and perfectly explains the graphs.

10. Electricity-lightening.

As mentioned earlier bent gravity beams from the sun form the winds upon earth beginning at points of arrival mostly near evening time. The amount of wind varies due to fluctuations in earth's revolution motion and in solar sunspots etc. Similar fluctuations occur for vertical incoming beams that intersect each other as they focus inward. If the intersecting is unusual, the fabric of the downward push of incoming beams is exposed as lightning or as centers of rotation such as tornados.

11. Ancient Aliens.

When searching through the history of mankind we find the ancients have left us scrolls, cave pictures, pyramids, upright monoliths and circular and linear landscapes. It seems what was really happening was a system of documenting their capabilities to show to other tribes or civilizations and to us in their future. We haven't understood the messages! They are telling how they could deal with gravity! Just the huge blocks forming the pyramids could never have been created and moved, even by armies of millions.

Even more difficult to understand are the upright stones of Stonehenge where hundreds more upright monoliths have been found somewhat buried. How were they raised? The "simple" way to move such mass is by blocking the downward push of gravity! Once gravity is blocked you can even move the mass elsewhere. Also there is a pattern of 2 miles of 8 across stones in Thailand. It matches the pattern of 2 miles of holes in Peru. Possibly the stones were transported half way across the world. Then there are the Easter Island stone figures. They are all similar. A monolith is created by slowly detaching and raising sections of granite. Something is needed to help separate the monolith from its laterally attached ground while lifting. The finding of liquid Mercury in significant places suggests Mercury could serve the blocking gravity role that electrons do for magnetism.

12. Light and Black Holes

Since much of Astrophysics focuses on light, many bad theories abound. The idea that there are things with mass and things without mass led to the massless nature of light and EM radiation. That led to photons which caused the wave particle duality which becomes an impediment to physics.

Science chose the rate of travel of light to map the universe. That led to establishing a constant velocity for light, at least within a given medium. Then came the red shifts which adopted the Doppler function assuming stars move away and cause expansion. Given that model even some red shifts exceeded theory and we gained white and neutron stars which held back light via gravitation. Expanding that model led to black holes as the ultimate retention of light. Such stars/holes had to have nearly infinite mass and thus density. Actually they are places where the rotation of space, which is really all EM radiation, as beams, including light, bend as they exit. Thus the highly rotating light beams are too bent to disburse. Selecting black holes as the only light diminishing has ignored distance. All of this would not have happened if light was

allowed a variable velocity, no matter how small the deviations might be. The basic ignored argument is that if black hole gravity could stop light then what about a mass that almost could stop light. We would accept gravitational redshifts rather than Doppler red shift from stars depending on density etc.

This reveals the idea that both the mass of the source and the distance of the source work together to determine the red shift. Since External Gravitation removes fixed light speed it opens up the universe to logical interpretations. We are seeing some relevant interpretations about Quasars for example.

Other fantasies include dark matter due to misunderstanding galaxies. Also dark energy was needed for universe boundaries. Extra dimensions are illogical as are worm holes.

References

- [1] The Free Dictionary, The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition copyright ©2000 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Updated in 2009. Published by Houghton Mifflin Company.
- [2] Paul Schroeder, **The Universe is Otherwise** (Booksurge/Createa- space, 2006).
- [3] Paul Schroeder, "Paeps: External Gravity Particles (The Universe is Otherwise: Part 1)", (2008), <http://www.worldsci.org/php/index.php?tab0=Abstracts&tab1=Display&id=3224&tab=2>.
- [4] Paul Schroeder, "The Spectrum of Existence (The Universe is Otherwise: Part 2)", (2008), <http://www.worldsci.org/php/index.php?tab0=Abstracts&tab1=Display&id=4152&tab=2>.
- [5] Paul Schroeder, "Motions, Rotations and Revolutions (The Universe is Otherwise: Part 3)", (2008), <http://www.worldsci.org/php/index.php?tab0=Abstracts&tab1=Display&id=3229&tab=2>.
- [6] Paul Schroeder, "Gravity from the Ground Up", *Proceedings of the NPA* 7: 498-503 (2010).
- [7] Paul Schroeder, "Get 'Real' About Gravity" ", *Proceedings of the NPA* 8: 521-529 (2011).
- [8] Paul Schroeder, "Ignoring Newton's Hints Brought Scientific Chaos" ", *Proceedings of the NPA* 8: 521-529 (2011).
- [9] Isaac Newton, **Principia**; in James Newman, **The World of Mathematics**, Vol. 1, p. 261 (Dover, 2003).
- [10] Greg Volk, "A Matter of Definition", *Proceedings of the Space, Propulsion & Energy Sciences International Forum* (College Park, 2011); "The Meaning of Maxwell's Equations", unpublished.
- [11] Leonardo Motta, "1905 and all that", on internet quoted in Eric Weissteins world of physics under Michelson Morley experiment - ether. 1996-2007 *Scienceworld - wolfram.com* vol,pg,yr unidentified.
- [12] Xin-She Yang and Qian-Shen Wang, " Gravity Anomaly During the Mohe Total Solar Eclipse .." *Astrophysics and Space Science* 282: p245-253 2002.
- [13] Paul Schroeder, "Gravity and Revolution Rates Within Galaxies" ", *Proceedings of the NPA* 9 (2012).
- [14] Paul Schroeder, "Creating Matter From EM Radiation" ", *Proceedings of the NPA* 9 (2012). pp. 510-513
- [15] Paul Schroeder, "Mass Rotation Controls Orbiting" ", *Proceedings of the NPA*10 (2013).

[16] Paul Schroeder, "Creating Matter From EM Radiation" ", *Proceedings of the NPA 10* (2013).

[17] Paul Schroeder, Arthur Ramthum, Robert De Hilster, "Gravity is a Pushing Force.", *Proceedings CNPS* (2016).