

ANTIRELATIVE EVIDENCE OF THE NORMAL LOGIC

Alexandar Nikolov

E-mail: almihnik@mail.bg

Abstract (MT)

In the inertial systems, there is no hype sense. They are either identical in Galilei or are various in Einstein. Another interpretation is off. If the method of scientific comparison (intuitively applied by Einstein) was accessible for Galilei, he would never introduced (invented) the notorious Principle of relativity. If the logic "or identity, or difference" not escaped of Einstein, he would never misled the science with its ludicrous new logic "and identity, and difference".

Keywords: inertial systems, identity, difference

INTRODUCTION

I find it suitably to put an accent on a comment to my article curious as typecasting. The same reflects the general misunderstanding of the fact that Einstein factual refutes the thesis of Galilei for absolute identity of relatively moving inertial systems. On this topic I have not one or two submissions, but will be only beneficial for Cognition to take the occasion for an even big someone stroke.

EXPOSITION

1. For the poisoning with relativism

Here is a part of the comment (copy-paste): Anonymous Jan 30, 2012 / 20:42

'Even Galilei has formulated

that the rectilinear and uniform movement and peace are indistinguishable and via get no physical an experience could not to demonstrate whether a body is at peace or moving rectilinearly and uniformly. With this postulate he has formulated the relativity of the movement, conclusion of which is that we can with a full right to accept that no body moves but everything around it."

And here's a part of my response: **alniko** February 1, 2012 / 09:18

"You describe the contemporary understanding. I strive to show how unnaturally have come to it. A basic principle in nature is the principle of opposites... The movement is not simply kinematics. In the real moving system run physical processes, while in the other, mirrored moving, are not."

Without going into details, it seems no one sees the essence of the relative defect. The sticking to the letter academic body with disregard and carelessness extinguish every impulse for the

straightening of problematic regulations, continuing to infuse into young minds the reproduced toxins of relativism. The poisoning is ubiquitous. The state of common sense has undergone in to your opposite equivalent. The antidote for awakening and emergence of the consciousness so far turns out weak and ineffective. But there is no force that is able to stop the process of its "realization", of its "coming to itself" even more to on the background of the hesitation and fatigue from the relative oddities. Here I will directly inject the subsequent dose normal logic and sober look at the circumstances.

2. The position of Galilei: the inertial systems are absolutely identical, respectively, their movement is absolutely relative

It would be good but is also the high time the physical and the philosophical community to think seriously how far scientifically justified is unreservedly to accept the viewpoint of Galilei, after knows that he takes its conclusions from the insignificantly narrow segment of the mechanical movements.

Naturally, the great scientist has researched the phenomenon of "inertial systems" station with all due for its time accuracy of the treatments. Explicitly emphasize the explanation "station with all due accuracy for its time" because by then us divide centuries accumulated new knowledge and experience.

Today every waking mind is able to see through and realized that the formula "peace=movement" from those distant years, in fact is a result of, one kind, comparing of eye...from a judgment in sense. As well as one person to look research efforts of Galilei, they do not represent nothing more than a too low level of Cognition.

More specifically, in the range of the classical speeds Galilei not observes (not captures sensory) no difference between the state of peace, and that of even and a rectilinear motion. On this basis, announces the two states, respectively, the two systems, their bearers for absolutely identical (indistinguishable) and "legalizes" this own observation, ergo, this own perception, introducing the notorious Principle of relativity.

Let again boldly underline that he takes into account solely the field of the tangible speeds. Therefore, it is more than surprising that his thesis (for indistinguishability of peace and the movement) with obviously limited perimeter of action be taken a priori for universal truth as something intelligible of itself, which does not need a whatever it is quarantine awaiting in the column "assumptions and hypotheses."

Anyway, the moving relative to one another inertial systems like forever are marked with the stamp of the identity. However, the made remarks give to understand that whether it is really so, in fact remains in question...require us to be very careful and responsible. Ultimately, we are not talking about some a secondary dependence, say, like the laws of Archimedes and for the states "peace" and

"movement" of the matter, which form the basis of the Universe. We must have awareness that ruling on a fundamental law of nature, forming or deforming our worldview.

Pay attention to the fact that, after the opinion of Galilei, the mind, apparently unsatisfied, refused to accept the task of closed, continuing to look for a solution, which to be in force for the movement in throughout the range of speeds.

3. The position of Einstein: the inertial systems differ, but this difference is fully reversible, which makes them identical (the inertial systems differ, but are absolutely identical??), respectively, their movement is absolutely relative

In a result appears the Special theory of relativity – an expression of incredibly growing and modernized cognitive abilities of the consciousness. The same approaches to relatively moving inertial systems (one symbolizing the peace and the other the movement) completely objectively in the strictly scientific rules of comparison (for which Galilei has no idea), thereby achieving a peak accuracy of the study.

But its author does not fully understand the essence of what he does. He has no the knowledge that realizes the necessary for this case perfect comparative procedure. Accordingly, no respecter of results as opposed to those of its own illustrious predecessor. And they are precisely ones.

That is, Einstein shows that the inertial systems are absolutely identical only when they are at rest relative to one another. The emergence even on the negligible relative velocity v between them leads to a difference in their parameters...makes them different. Only the differences become possible for reporting barely at speeds of very high order. That is why Galilei fails to see them and puts a sign of equality between systems. Galilei involuntarily is made a mistake. His conclusions are wholly wrong.

Einstein, however, after the significant disclosure of the discrepancy between the systems starts to return things back in the mental plane of Galilei. He not perceives that the same is already compromised, is crashed, that the systems really are opposite.

But how to make two differing systems to look identical? How to equated two opposing natures. Can he after having de facto "the one is distinct from the other" in some lawful logical, physical, mathematical way to achieve a result, "the one is identical of the other?" I.e., is there a lawful possibility the concept "the other" somehow to lose sense and to obtain one thing identically only on themselves (except by terminating the relative motion, in this case)?

Today, to each of us must be abundantly clear that, except through jugglery no other way of achieving such reincarnation. And the making of tricks, we know means illusion, machination, ultimately, fraud, but hidden behind a some applied sleight (of course, all this is not maliciously, and presented as an art – a demonstration of skills).

Einstein, naturally, is not an illusionist, and is fraught with an awareness of scientifically movement to the truth. But, disoriented, he factual proceeded to manipulation of the results obtained. So seemingly succeeds to obliterate the coming to light asymmetry between the systems. Illegal actions however cannot stay without consequences. This "a profitable" move has been achieved at the cost of conversion of the objective physics in a startling psycho-forum.

The scientific thought turns out firmly ensnared in the delusion of Galilei – "the inertial systems are absolutely indistinguishable...the peace and the movement are absolutely relative". But quite is not accidental that after the work of Einstein, the mind still not considers the problem in definitively resolved and continues frantically to seek the truth.

4. The decision of each comparison is one – identity or difference

The contemporary scientists cannot but are completely convinced that the Great Italian conducts their experimental and theoretical activities completely unaware in order essential characteristics of the space, time, light, etc. Ultimately, that he did not know anything about the method of scientifically comparing of the systems and not at all apply it. Can not but are completely convinced that he handles almost entirely speculative with notions of "peace" and "movement", that not at all disposal the necessary and sufficient grounds for their identity.

In a similar way things stand and at Einstein. Our time must realize that he is moving forward in the right direction only thanks to his brilliant intuition. While, in practice, has no clear idea that accomplishes theoretical realization of an ordinary, banal comparison of the systems. Therefore violates respectively, ignores a single usually banal decision of the comparison – that the systems are either identical or different. Another possibility does not exist – nor as any third nor as any intermediate "a part of the two" nor as any "both at once" (and to be identical in their difference – conceptually intricate philosophical word combination allegedly expressing deep insight into the dialectic – in fact is pure philosophical violence over reason, nonsense which physically simply no way to realize...nor as mathematical)

Again and again I repeat the elementary truth which at last needs to unblock the relevant brain centers. Galilei, the founder of modern science simply has sown a fallacy. And I will not get weary it become bare at every opportunity. Actually, it is quite naked with nothing to undressing...just that the scientists look to it through his "lenses with a cataract of ignorance objectively" concealing its outlines under a veil of ethereal cobweb. Entangling in the invisible threads, Einstein also starts in wrong the given direction of thinking, giving the case a mystical-comic colors.

And so, in the inertial systems there is no hype sense. They are either identical in Galilei or are various in Einstein. Another interpretation is off.

CONCLUTION

In this connection, I could express the following conditional assumptions:

– If the method of scientific comparison (intuitively applied by Einstein) was accessible for Galilei, he would never introduced (invented) the notorious Principle of relativity.

– If the logic "or identity, or difference" not escaped of Einstein, he would never misled the science with its ludicrous new logic "both identity, and difference" (would never replaced the normal logic "or, or" with the abnormal "and, and").

And already not at all as a guess:

– If the physicists on time was decided the experience of Michelson-Morley from A to Z, they would see that it's not all the same whether the Earth moves relative to the Ether or the Ether moves relative to the Earth. [1]

But such smooth rights of ascent of Cognition is impossible to happen...is not in the laws of development. The objective cognitive line passes precisely through the mistakes made. So that Galilei and Einstein appear link in the chain, without which can not.

Barely post factum comes the rationalization of the maxim that there is no way two different to unify. There is no way to depersonalize their opposite. The same is a question of physical differences. In the real moving system run reversible kinetic-potential processes, subordinate to speed...only in it. [2] To explain more and more all this seems to me a funny...and stupidly. But is necessary...the antidote should not stop.

Reference

- [1] Николов А. – Извеждане трансформациите на Лоренц от експеримента на Майкълсън -Морли (Nikolov A. – Working out of the Lorentz transformations from the Michelson-Morley experiment)
Част1: http://alniko-knowledge.blogspot.com/2013/08/1_10.html
Част2: http://alniko-knowledge.blogspot.com/2013/08/2_10.html
- [2] Николов А. – За релативните ефекти върху дължината и времето (некоректната физика на специалната теория)
(Nikolov A. – For the relative effects on length and time (incorrect physics of the Special theory)
http://alniko-knowledge.blogspot.com/2013/08/blog-post_9002.html