

E=Q an Approach to the Integration of the q Electrical-Mass into the Q Quantum Potential Energy

Scientific paper & simple mathematics by MSc. Andraž Pibernik & Alan Zeeper

As an independent researcher on the former institute "TSI – Terra Simulada Ilimitada", I would like to present some new insights that will never find it's way into Physics Journals (too narrow oriented) and TV science shows (for dumb sheeple, censorship), because they are so revolutionary and would cause rapid shifts in human consciousness and mass hysteria among those who think that they control everything.

On my way to overrule the Theory of Everything - TOE one insight is necessary to be presented. There is no space or vacuum were Q or Quantum Potential in not present. Same goes for q or electrical-mass which is an ocean of Volume x Pressure = Electrical Energy and is pushing us down to earth as gravity. Quote: Al Zeeper

Three of my fellow researchers are involved into this study; Alan Zeeper, Amrit and Erik my www.ijs.si and Cern LHC connection.

According to Erik the "electric" origin of mass was not invented by Lorentz, he only formulated one possibility. Already Laplace has speculated about the electric origin of mass, and after Maxwell's equations have become known there were many other attempts to formulate a consistent theory.

Nikola Tesla had no calculations and Alan Zeeper proved that m or mass as we see it should be written as q to obtain $E=qa^2t^2$ which is the one and only true equation for energy. This puts $E=mc^2$ to false and SRT falsified. Both wrong definition of mass and c not being constant were confirmed by my and Zeeper's further research.

$m=q(at)$ or $m=qv$ as $v=(at)$

$q=m/(at)$ or $q=m/v$ and Temperature= (at)

m =mass as we see it

q =electrical-mass

a =acceleration

t =time

(at) =velocity and temperature

Dr. Nikola Tesla my fellow citizen and my other fellow researcher Dr. Marc Seifer, both write about light being a disturbance of the ether or electrical-mass. Proof here: Light = q/t or electrical-mass times frequency, frequency is the oscilation of the electrical-mass or disturbance. The basic quanta of space are called QS and on the quantum level the transfer of information and energy is immediate, time and distance do not exist anymore. Quote: Srečko Amrit Šorli Nirvikar distinguished publisher of academic papers on non existence of time at PhysOrg. Energy of one spherical QS = Light x Heat or $q/t \times a^2t^3 = E = qa^2t^2$ or former mc^2 , because $a^2t^2=c^2$. $gp^2Tp^2=c^2$ on the Planck level, gp =Planck Acceleration and Tp =Planck Time, c =velocity of light.

On the classical mechanical level a =earths gravity acceleration and t =earths orbit time, both multiplied = c or velocity of light.

Now back to Q or Quantum Potential, according to Sir Roger Penrose, Quantum Potential energy is responsible for information transfer from particle to particle.

... is non-local and mediates the transfer of active information from one particle to another ... This feature is shared by the gravitational energy in general relativity, a point that has been noted by Penrose

In 1999, Salvatore Esposito generalized their result from spin -1/2 particles to particles of arbitrary spin, confirming the interpretation of the quantum potential as a kinetic energy for an internal motion. Esposito showed that using the notation,

$$\hbar=1$$

the quantum potential can be written as:

$$Q = -\frac{1}{2}mv_s^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_s$$

Source Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_potential

According to an article from Erik and Cern LHC finding, $e=m$ on the Planck Quantum level and $E=mc^2$ is needed on our macro level. Quote Erik: It turns out that at the Planck scale, $e = m$, even though at macro scales $e=mc^2$.

It turns out that at the Planck scale $e=m$, even though at macro scales $E=mc^2$. And at the Planck scale the Planck Mass accounts for 2.17645×10^{-8} kg – supposedly the mass of a flea's egg – and has a Schwarzschild radius of a Planck length – meaning that if you compressed this mass into such a tiny volume, it would become a very small black hole containing one Planck unit of energy. Read more at:

<http://phys.org/news/2011-03-doubly-special-relativity.html#jCp>

Let us now turn back to the main task of this paper and prove that $E=q$ integrated into Q . We saw in the above equation for Quantum Potential Energy that $Q=mv^2$ and now we substitute m with the wrong definition of mass by Einstein as this is mass as we see it by q , electrical-mass that has no velocity ceiling (to avoid Lorentz transformation that made Einstein believe that c is the cosmic speed limit) on the quantum level being everywhere. Electrical-mass q is the building block of everything also QS or quanta of space which are Planck spherical in shape at $(1/6)^{111} \times 10^{-18}$ cubic meters in Hamid-Planck Volume at $\text{Pi}/\text{Planck Time squared}$ frequency or basic frequency of Consciousness. Consciousness has linear and angular frequency and vibrates/oscillates that is why the QS or quanta of space expand and contract which puts their circumference= $\text{Volt} \times \text{Coulomb}$ for contraction which is a Force: $at^2 \times ma = ma^2t^2 = \text{old } mc^2$ the result is Electric Energy and we have a perpetuum mobile or free electric power plant on every Planck Corner at each Planck Time. Discovered at **Wow Go Here . com** in cooperation with UE_2013 project.

$Q=E$ and $E=m/v \times v^2$ to obtain $E=mv$ when $(m=qv)$ is $E=qv^2$ with $E=Q$ resulting in $Q=Q$ same as $1=1$.

$E=qa^2t^2$ and $q=m/v$

E or Consciousness is the one and only fundamental cosmic energy and equals Quantum Potential Energy made of Electrical-Mass that has no velocity ceiling. 1 Electric Energy = 1 electrical-mass building block of 1 Quantum Potential Energy means that we are all one made of this same one dough that is electric. Consciousness has electromagnetic properties and $E=mQ^2$ was reduced to $E=Q$ in my first paper from August 2011 as q was integrated into Q same as here. We are all ONE.

“This in turn means that we are all 1 equaling this 1 or part of a bigger infinite 1^∞ , and as we need no power because dimensions such as time, distance, velocity and power integer of infinity had been abolished possessing only a mathematical dummy character the author postulates that our hearts and spirits had enough of observing of our numerical order of non spiritual retardation meaning that iQ physically means nothing else as I am Q. If one needs more answers he should look for information about the force that compels the humanity to explore to learn and to develop which makes us so interesting that we need to be preserved in the cosmic heart by the universal collective consciousness of our spirits.” Personal quote

To confirm this 1 or ones of existence the Austrian Erwin Schrödinger also the author of the famous Schrödinger equation, in his book “What is Life” defined this 1 fundamental energy and stuff the universe is made of referred to as consciousness as “a singular of which the plural is unknown. There is only 1 thing, and that which seems to be a plurality is merely a series of different aspects of this thing, produced by a deception ... as in a gallery of mirrors.” By inserting E, q, Q into this equation we obtain iQ meaning I am this 1 no matter the size of my consciousness as 1 always =1. For any questions contact the author directly, e-mail: apibernik@gmail.com

For those who seek the truth about existence and our reality note that the Quantum Potential approach can be used to model quantum effects without requiring the Schrödinger equation to be explicitly solved and it can be integrated in simulations. Are we living in one of them?

*“I hold that **space cannot be curved**, for the simple reason that it can have no properties. It might as well be said that God has properties. He has not, but only attributes and these are of our own making. Of properties we can only speak when dealing with matter filling the space. To say that in the presence of large bodies space becomes curved is equivalent to stating that something can act upon nothing. I, for one, refuse to subscribe to such a view.” Quote: Nikola Tesla on September 11th 1932.*