

COVID-19 Vaccine Concerns from Medical Doctors and other Sources

Thierry De Mees
Independent researcher
thierrydemees @ telenet.be

In my papers "COVID-19 : the truth is in front of us" and "COVID-19: Was it worth a lock-down?", I have reported many links that have proven the misinformation and disinformation from the mainstream media, which is still ongoing: no complete figures are given by the media, and fear is spread every day since nine months now, without scientific substantiation. It turns out that this virus is barely more severe than the seasonal flu. Nowadays, the reporting of flu deaths has stopped, and they are reported as Covid-19. It is even allowed to report Covid-related death when a patient died 28 days after having tested positive, whatever the cause of death is.

In this paper, I highlight the vaccine that was rushed to be made in a few months instead of many years. The question is: if the virus was really that deadly, why would one accept to rush for a totally unknown, untested vaccine technology which is the modified RNA vaccine, as the ones from Pfizer, Moderna and Astra Zenica? Why would the population be guinea pigs for it?

This paper should be read as a chain of essential references, clarified and explained by the text. I have avoided to add references to well-known or easily verifiable definitions in order to simplify the reader's analysis."

Keywords: Coronavirus, 2019-nCoV, SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, hydroxychloroquine, ID2020, vaccines, pandemic, immune system, Pfizer, Moderna, Astra Zenica, mRNA

This Pfizer/Moderna/Astra-Zenica Covid vaccine uses an unprecedented modified RNA technology as a vaccine injection, compatible with the human genome, to potentially modify our DNA. It also contains nano-particles and heavy metals.

No extensive tests have been done on the substance. The population is a guinea pig for a vaccine against a disease with a 99.9% survival rate, even better in the younger population.

No one knows what really happened to the human Covid vaccine guinea pigs. It is being withheld. It is claimed that the "side effects": **neurological breakdown and impairment of cognitive functions**, has nothing to do with the vaccine. It made virtual zombies out of some of the vaccinated. See here:

1) Participant alleges neurological symptoms due to Covishield: What are possible side effects of vaccination?

<https://www.timesnownews.com/health/article/participant-alleges-neurological-symptoms-due-to-covishield-what-are-possible-side-effects-of-vaccination/688471>

"According to recent reports, a trial participant, who underwent vaccine administration at the Serum Institute of India, as part of the Indian trials of the vaccine has alleged that he suffered from severe neurological and psychological symptoms due to the jab. According to a report by news agency PTI, a 40-year-old man who took part in the 'Covidshield' vaccine trial here has alleged serious side effects, including a virtual neurological breakdown and impairment of cognitive functions."

2) Oxford vaccine: Covidshield trial participant alleges neurological breakdown, impaired cognitive functions

<https://www.timesnownews.com/health/article/covidshield-vaccine-trial-participant-alleges-neuro-breakdown-impaired-cognitive-functions/688437>

"The man, it alleged, suffered acute encephalopathy, damage or disease that affects the brain, following vaccination and all tests confirmed that the setback in his health was due to the test vaccine.

The trauma he underwent after taking it 'clearly proves' that the vaccine was not safe as made out to be and all the stakeholders were trying to hide the adverse effect that the vaccine has had on him, the notice claimed.

An electroencephalogram (EEG) test showed that the brain was affected partially (dysfunction involving both hemispheres and the ascending sensory pathways on both sides from both the lower extremities).

A psychiatric evaluation revealed mild deficit in verbal and visual memory functions and an under functioning in overall cognitive functions, it said."

3) Petition/motion for [...] ongoing clinical trials of vaccine candidates designed to stop transmission of the virus from the vaccine recipient to others and/or to prevent Covid-19 or mitigate symptoms of Covid-19 for which pcr results are the primary evidence of infection with SARS-Cov-2.

https://healthimpactnews.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2020/12/Wodarg_Yeadon_EMA_Petition_Pfizer_Trial_FINAL_01DEC2020_EN_unsigned_with_Exhibits.pdf

"This petition for a stay of action is submitted by the undersigned [...] to request the EMA a) stay the Phase III clinical trial(s) of [nr of COVID-19 vaccine trial] until study design is amended to conform with the requests in the "Action Requested" section (B.) below; and b) stay all other clinical trials of vaccine candidates designed to stop transmission of the virus from the vaccine recipient to others and/or prevent or mitigate symptoms of COVID-19 for which PCR results are the primary evidence of infection.

Because of the compelling need to ensure the safety and efficacy of any COVID-19 vaccine licensed by the EMA (and/or the German Paul-Ehrlich-Institut), and to allow Petitioner the opportunity to seek appropriate emergency judicial relief should the EMA deny its Petition, Petitioner respectfully requests that EMA act on the instant Petition immediately.

4) FDA announces 2 deaths of Pfizer vaccine trial participants from "serious adverse events"

<https://healthandmoneynews.wordpress.com/2020/12/08/breaking-fda-announces-2-deaths-of-pfizer-vaccine-trial-participants-from-serious-adverse-events/amp/>

"In an FDA Briefing Document released on December 8th titled, "[Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee Meeting December 10, 2020](#)," clinical trial results from the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine reveal two study participants died from what were classified as "Serious Adverse Events." On page 41 of the document two vaccine recipients classified as ">55 years of age" died: "[O]ne experienced a cardiac arrest 62 days after vaccination #2 and died 3 days later, and the other died from arteriosclerosis 3 days after vaccination #1.""

5) Four trial volunteers who got Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine developed Bell's palsy - but FDA denies that the temporary facial paralysis was caused by the shot

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-9030943/amp/Four-volunteers-got-Pfizers-vaccine-developed-Bells-palsy.html>

"Four people who got Pfizer's [coronavirus](#) vaccine in the firm's trial developed Bell's palsy, a form of temporary facial paralysis, according to U.S. regulators' report on the shot.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulators said there wasn't any clear way that the vaccine caused Bell's palsy, but warned that doctors should watch for the alarming side effect and Pfizer should continue to keep tab on how many people it strikes."

6) London: public tender: "Software package and information systems": to handle the expected high volume of the Covid-19 vaccine Adverse Drug Reaction.

"The MHRA urgently seeks an Artificial Intelligence (AI) software tool to process the expected high volume of Covid-19 vaccine Adverse Drug Reaction (ADRs) and ensure that no details from the ADRs' reaction text are missed."

<https://ted.europa.eu/udl?uri=TED:NOTICE:506291-2020:PDF:EN:HTML>

7) "This vaccination is an experiment on humans," warns vaccination expert Prof. Hockertz.

<https://reitschuster.de/post/die-impfung-ist-ein-experiment-an-menschen/>

Translation:

It happens time and again that interviews put you to the test as a journalist. However, it rarely happens that they do it as often as my conversation with Stefan Hockertz. Hockertz is an immunologist, toxicologist and pharmacologist and professor at the University of Hamburg. He has been involved with vaccinations for decades. A few months ago, he says, he was attacked by critics of Corona policy for being a die-hard supporter of vaccinations. But because he has great concerns about the planned corona vaccination, he is now insulted as a 'corona denier'. Which he finds completely absurd, since he finds Corona absolutely dangerous (here the audio version of the interview).

Traditionally, we receive weakened or dead pathogens with vaccinations, which help our immune system not to become, or only slightly, sick later of real pathogens. This principle is now 'thrown overboard' by the planned corona vaccinations. Three of the planned vaccinations were based on messenger RNA: a single strand of genetic information that is then read in the cells. "A completely new vaccination strategy," says Hockertz, "Now we're only getting part of the virus, which should be the spike protein, but we don't really know it either, we know very little about this RNA vaccination. If foreign RNA is injected, get into human cells and read there. Such an RNA vaccination was not approved anywhere in the world before Corona, says Hockertz: "Because the data situation was clearly inadequate, questions about quality, safety and effectiveness could not be answered satisfactorily by the authorities, otherwise it would have been approved. "

When asked why these concerns should suddenly be dispelled and approved, although risks could not be ruled out, the professor replied: "I don't know! We found ourselves in a situation where the guidelines of the past thirty or forty years would no longer be followed: "It's not just about vaccines, it's about brand new gene therapy material. We are fed genes, messenger RNA, which is also artificial. So we are, also legally, at the level of gene therapy ".

That even genetically modified corn aroused great concern among certain parties in Germany, but not the gene therapy vaccination, is a mystery to him, says Hockertz: "You eat corn, you don't inject it, this corn is digested unrecognizably in the stomach and intestines. We hardly come into contact with it. Now totally different. Since we don't eat this RNA, but let it be injected into our bodies unfiltered, I am very surprised that exactly the same parties who hated genetically modified corn are now standing still and, even more so, promoting this vaccination. "

The main reason for relying on mRNA is that it can be produced faster in larger quantities: "In every kitchen, in every pan. While traditional vaccines take one to two years to produce. "We know almost nothing about their effectiveness. "The stories we are told about 70 or 90 percent effectiveness don't seem to me to be substantiated. When asked, I didn't get an answer from the companies. We were always happy when we had a flu vaccine that was 30 to 40 percent effective. The data presented to us now seems a bit utopian. "What happens no longer has anything to do with science, it's purely political, according to Hockertz.

May, 14, 2020

"If I had done a study with the data that we have clear today, or rather with the non-data, I would have ended up in jail. It's a clear violation of the drug law," Hockertz complains. He made a lot of inquiries with companies and authorities and asked for studies. He never got an answer. He was only kept quiet or laughed at. A colleague then wrote to Pfizer. The group supports BioNtec. He asked one of the leading researchers there which of the planned studies prior to vaccination or human experiments were available to Pfizer. The answer consisted of a few words: "No data available yet. The vaccination is "an experiment on humans," according to the professor.

Dramatic side effects

The risks are enormous, warns Hockertz - and refers, for example, to the 'blueprint', the swine flu: 'Approximately the same people in Germany have proclaimed vaccination as a panacea. In fact, a vaccine has been developed and administered. By the time it was established that about 7,000 children had developed narcolepsy. An attack of sleeping sickness that is incurable and based on a change in the nervous system". This side effect would have been found in animal experiments with good rather than hasty development, says Hockertz: "So here's the sign: if you develop a vaccine quickly, excessively, negligently, people - no, there will be side effects. are not unimportant. And I'm not talking about redness of the skin or light fever. These side effects are desirable. But changes that affect the nervous system, for example, that can cause the immune system to become autoimmune, i.e. directed against ourselves, these side effects are completely unacceptable. Always with the background in mind that we do not treat sick people who could die from us, but healthy people, to whom we have given some kind of insurance. "

Hockertz 'Conclusion: Corona is dangerous. But not so threatening that it justifies such a dangerous vaccination strategy.