

Einstein takes a bashing because of Higgs Ether

Roger J Anderton

R.J.Anderton@btinternet.com

The Higgs particle means that a type of ether is being reintroduced into mainstream physics despite many Einstein followers being firm in their erroneous belief that ether does not exist.

Looking at some of the things that Sascha Vongehr has to say.

Sascha Vongehr has studied theoretical physics and string theory at Sussex University, UK, and quantum gravity (black holes/two time theory) at the University of Southern California (USC). [1]

In his article “Higgs Discovery Rehabilitating Despised Einstein Ether”, [2] Sascha Vongehr first states there is now firm evidence for the Higgs particle. It does not quite seem that way to me at the moment and the latest data is still being assessed, see article: “Firm Evidence Of A Higgs Boson At Last!” by Tommaso Dorigo. [3]

The issue I want to pick up is that Sascha Vongehr says: “This means the "Higgs ether" exists. But did not Einstein tell us that there is no ether? Poor Albert is getting punched hard these days.”

As dealt with in my articles, Einstein changed his mind about ether, it is just some of his followers that have not gone with Einstein's change of mind and are firm in their belief that ether does not exist.

“Although in a sense not fundamentally important (physicists hedged their bets and the multiverse allows no Higgs to be true simultaneously.”

Vongehr refers to physicists making both claims; they make claim A and claim not-A. They have done this a lot after Einstein changed physics, he made it easier for them. Now with the idea that there are lots of universes – the multiverse theory; physicists can hedge their bets even better. They can claim A and not-A can be true in different universes, and it is a question of determining what universe we are in. So as regards Higgs particle, it is then just a question of determining if we are in a universe where it exists or in a universe where it does not exist.

This is of course a terrible bodge; equivalent to medieval science adding numerous epicycles when observations did not fit theory. What modern science has gone on the path of is – bodging more than medieval science ever did.

Anyway, he continues:

“there are dire consequences of the discovery of the "God particle" [nickname for Higgs particle]. Some of the more crack pottery science bloggers will be very disappointed about the Higgs having any mass, some even promised us to stop blogging altogether! (Warning: Don't hold your breath.)”

Of course, I think the worst of these crackpots are the Einstein-believers especially the faction of them that believes in no ether; and often don't realise their belief is more a religion than science.

I.e that group of crackpots that have taken over the mainstream.

Einstein changed his mind about ether; so not all Einstein-believers believe in no ether, but there is a big faction of them. And Tesla physics is about ether.

So, moving on to the interesting bit as regards this, Vongehr says:

“Why is the Higgs boson and its associated Higgs field such bad news for many? In a nutshell: Orthodoxy about Einstein's general relativity has for about a whole century now academically lynched anybody for mentioning the evil ether.”

That would be the faction of the Einstein-believers who don't believe in ether and try to impose their religion on others. Vongehr even says it is a 'religion.'

Vongehr: “The ether, also “Einstein-Aether”, is the idea that relativity emerges inside a fluid like space-substance that lives through time. This is very much disliked by all those who almost religiously believe in the dogma of abstract geometrical space-time. The Higgs boson is an excitation of the Higgs field, and that Higgs field is what gives some of the more fundamental particles like electrons their mass. This is why the Higgs field is also called *Higgs-ether!*”

Now that ether should be brought back to physics, let look at what one of these pro-ether people has to say; for example - Guido Grzanic whose version of ether he calls hyper-dimensional ether, he says [4]:

“Given the important role that relativity has played in the rejection of the aether concept by mainstream science we examine here in some detail relativity from an aether perspective. We argue that many of the contradictions of standard relativity, such as the twin paradox, can only be satisfactorily resolved by assuming a medium that transmits light.”

In other words, the Einstein believers with their religion of no ether have imposed a great deal of nonsense upon us, and physics needs radical correction.

As Guido Grzanic points out:

“In an outstanding piece of work, Milan Pavlovic has carefully examined Einstein's original special relativity papers and found them to contain many inconsistencies and questionable assumptions [1a]. Further he looked at the experimental evidence used to justify the special theory of relativity, such as the Michelson-Morley experiment, the Doppler effect for light, Fizeau's light through water test, aberration of starlight. He showed that most of these could be understood in non-relativistic terms or with the assumption that the earth entrains the aether. Other objections to the theory have been raised by various scientists over the years, see for example Burniston Brown's classic article [2a] that takes a critical look at the special and general theories of relativity, as well as the Marcus Coleman article [3a] that catalogs objections by well known physicists and mathematicians. See also the article by John A Hickli [4a]. Those who have looked into the matter [5a] have documented more than 3000 articles published in scientific literature that criticize the special theory of relativity. Articles which have been largely ignored or conveniently swept under the carpet. Experimentally too there are disagreements with Einstein's relativity.”

Of course “they” i.e. the Einstein-believers (especially faction that deny the ether) have ignored the evidence, because “they” have a religion not a science; “they” want to believe things without evidence; “they” should have been honest and called their beliefs a religion, but that was not good enough for them and “they” wanted to corrupt science; how sad. It is long overdue that they should be expelled from the physics community, and science reclaimed by proper science.

References

[1] http://www.science20.com/profile/sascha_vongehr

[2] Higgs Discovery Rehabilitating Despised Einstein Ether, Sascha Vongehr

http://www.science20.com/alpha_meme/higgs_discovery_rehabilitating_despised_einstein_ether85497

[3] Firm Evidence Of A Higgs Boson At Last! Tommaso Dorigo,

http://www.science20.com/quantum_diaries_survivor/firm_evidence_higgs_boson_last-85478

[4] <http://www.esotericscience.com/Relativity.aspx>

[1a] Milan R. Pavlovic, “Einstein's Theory of Relativity - Scientific Theory or Illusion?”,
users.net.yu/~mrp

[2a] G. Burniston Brown, “What is wrong with relativity?”,

homepage.ntlworld.com/academ/whatswrongwithrelativity.html

[3a] Marcus Coleman, "The Trouble with Relativity",

www.wbabin.net/physics/marcus.htm

[4a] J. A. Hickli, "Reason Replaces Relativity",

www.reasonreplacesrelativity.com/someeternalphysics.pdf

[5a] G. O. Mueller, "95 Years of Criticism of the Special Theory of Relativity",

www.wbabin.net/science/mueller.pdf

c.RJAnderton2012

Some typo mistakes corrected: 9 Oct 2018