

## Comments by Stephen Crothers:

[thenarmis@gmail.com](mailto:thenarmis@gmail.com)

Dec. 15-19, 2012

COBE and WMAP results are fictitious. Neither satellite has measured the temperature of the Universe, the so-called Cosmic Microwave Background, despite the claims made by the WMAP and COBE teams. And the satellites are defective. For instance, WMAP images have a signal to noise ratio barely greater than unity, yet the WMAP team claims to have extracted a signal from a galactic foreground (i.e. noise) that is  $\sim 1000$  greater than the signal sought. This is impossible. Both COBE and WMAP must deal with this dynamic range problem. But laboratory experience has also shown that it is impossible to extract such a weak signal from such a large noise overlay without an ability to manipulate the signal source or a priori knowledge of it, neither of which is available to COBE or WMAP.

“COBE and WMAP: Signal Analysis by Fact or Fiction?” Published in ‘Electronics World’, March 2010 [www.sjcrothers.plasmareources.com/COBEwmap-3.pdf](http://www.sjcrothers.plasmareources.com/COBEwmap-3.pdf)

The black hole is fallacious.

“Interview - Proof That the Black Hole Has No Basis in General Relativity or Newtonian Gravitation and Therefore Does Not Exist” <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fsWKINfQwJU>

Transcript with references: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/115892163/The-Stephen-Crothers-Interview>

The scientific team of the ESA Planck satellite recently published this paper:

“Planck intermediate results. IX. Detection of the Galactic haze with Planck” (arXiv:1208.5483v1 [astro-ph.GA] 27 Aug 2012)

In the Abstract they say:

“Using precise full-sky observations from Planck, and applying several methods of component separation, we identify and characterize the emission from the Galactic “haze” at microwave wavelengths. The haze is a distinct component of diffuse Galactic emission, roughly centered on the Galactic centre, and extends to  $|b| \sim 35^\circ$  in Galactic latitude and  $|l| \sim 15^\circ$  in longitude. By combining the Planck data with observations from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe we are able to determine the spectrum of this emission to high accuracy, unhindered by the large systematic biases present in previous analyses.”

In the Introduction to the paper they say:

“The initial data release from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) revolutionised our understanding of both cosmology (Spergel et al. 2003) and the physical processes at work in the interstellar medium (ISM) of our own Galaxy (Bennett et al. 2003).”

But since the WMAP images are totally meaningless the Planck comparison to WMAP is also totally

meaningless. Furthermore, the 4K blackbody reference targets on Planck are defective. There is a conduction path that obviates them from operating as blackbody reference targets! See these additional important papers by Robitaille:

Robitaille P.-M.

“Calibration of Microwave Reference Blackbodies and Targets for Use in Satellite Observations: An Analysis of Errors in Theoretical Outlooks and Testing Procedures”

[http://www.ptep-online.com/index\\_files/2010/PP-22-01.PDF](http://www.ptep-online.com/index_files/2010/PP-22-01.PDF)

Robitaille P.-M.

“The Planck Satellite LFI and the Microwave Background: Importance of the 4K Reference Targets”

[http://www.ptep-online.com/index\\_files/2010/PP-22-02.PDF](http://www.ptep-online.com/index_files/2010/PP-22-02.PDF)

## **Dear Scientists, Engineers and Thinkers, (and a few astrophysical magicians!)**

Take a look at this:

<http://www.scienceworldreport.com/articles/4299/20121211/stephen-hawking-wins-huge-3-million-physics.htm>

Hawking got \$3 million for something that does not exist (the black hole). Note also that the scientists at CERN shared \$3 million for discovering "a Higgs-like particle at CERN's Large Hadron Collider". First they claimed to have found the Higgs boson, with much fanfare and international media coverage, but now it has become "a Higgs-like particle." What? Either way, its another fiction.

This paper by Miles Mathis reveals something worrisome about how the Fundamental Physics Prize founded by Russian businessman Yuri Milner is financed and awarded:

<http://milesmathis.com/yuri.pdf>

You will note that the Milner prizes are, it seems, awarded by those who previously won the prize! Go here and check the Rules section:

<http://www.fundamentalphysicsprize.org/>

There you will find the following words:

“Selection Committee

Past recipients of the Fundamental Physics Prize are invited to serve on the Selection Committee to select recipients of future prizes.” Also check the Laureates section for the inaugural winners; that speaks for itself!

So what we have is a self perpetuating (perpetual mobile) system of self- aggrandisement that funds the demonstrably false dogmas of contemporary physics. It is no wonder that contemporary physics is in a state of intellectual decrepitude. So it is also no wonder that Hawking just got \$3 million for the non-existent black hole, and the LHC scientists at CERN shared \$3 million for allegedly finding, not the Higgs boson they initially claimed to have found and announced to the world with much fanfare mind you, but “a Higgs-like particle” (a marvellous magical term is it not?) We all knew of course that

the initial announcement of the detection of the Higgs boson was a bad joke. This award amounts to an admission of what we already knew. "A Higgs-like particle" is a Clayton's particle - a drink that you have when you're not having a drink! What the particle physicists keep quiet about is that the predecessor of the LHC had already exceeded the theoretical energy at which the Higgs should have been found, but they found nothing then either. So what did they do? Fiddled the figures and pronounced that they'll find the Higgs at higher energies ~ so give us lots more money for a bigger toy. Now with their bigger very expensive toy they found no Higgs again. No problem – as Professor Brian Cox has more or less said in a television documentary, not finding the Higgs will also be a great discovery. What? That's a pretty convenient each way bet with truck loads of taxpayers' money.

It's also the case with the black hole. At first the black hole could not be seen, as Hawking himself said (Hawking S. W. The Theory of Everything, The Origin and Fate of the Universe; New Millennium Press, Beverly Hills, CA., 2002) about the Michell-Laplace Dark Body, which is in fact NOT a black hole despite Hawking's claims to the contrary,

"On this assumption a Cambridge don, John Michell, wrote a paper in 1783 in the Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. In it, he pointed out that a star that was sufficiently massive and compact would have such a strong gravitational field that light could not escape. Any light emitted from the surface of the star would be dragged back by the star's gravitational attraction before it could get very far. Michell suggested that there might be a large number of stars like this. Although we would not be able to see them because light from them would not reach us, we could still feel their gravitational attraction. Such objects are what we now call black holes, because that is what they are – black voids in space."

But now we are being told that quasars are also black holes! See for example: 'APEX takes part in sharpest observation ever' 18-Jul-2012, [http://www.eurekalert.org/pub\\_releases/2012-07/e-atp071612.php](http://www.eurekalert.org/pub_releases/2012-07/e-atp071612.php)

So now we are told that we cannot see and we can see black holes. Another each way bet – heads I win, tails you lose! Such is the way physics is now done, by magic, for monetary prizes awarded by magicians to magicians for expertise in legerdemain.